arXiv:1408.2623v1 [math.GT] 12 Aug 2014

GLUING FORMULA FOR THE STABLE COHOMOTOPY VERSION OF SEIBERG-WITTEN INVARIANTS ALONG 3-MANIFOLDS WITH $b_1 > 0$

HIROFUMI SASAHIRA

Abstract. We will define a version of Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy types for a closed, oriented 3-manifold Y with $b_1(Y) > 0$ and a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion under an assumption on Y. Using the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type, we will construct a gluing formula for the stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants of a closed 4-manifold X which has a decomposition $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$ along Y.

1. Main statements

In [14], Manolescu constructed an invariant $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c})$ for a 3-manifold Y with $b_1(Y) = 0$ and a spin-c $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y, which is defined as an object of a $U(1)$-equivariant stable homotopy category $\mathfrak{C}$ and is called the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type. It is conjectured that the $U(1)$-equivariant homology of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c})$ is isomorphic to the Seiberg-Witten-Floer homology constructed by Kronheimer-Mrowka [12]. As an application of the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type, we can define a relative invariant for an oriented, compact 4-manifold with boundary Y which is a generalization of the stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants for a closed 4-manifold due to Bauer and Furuta [2]. Manolescu [15] also constructed a gluing formula for the stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants along a 3-manifold Y with $b_1(Y) = 0$, which calculates the invariant of a closed 4-manifold in terms of the relative invariants. More recently, a $\mathrm{Pin}(2)$-equivariant version of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c})$ is used to disprove the triangulation conjecture [16] and to prove 10/8-type inequalities for 4-manifolds with boundary [8, 13, 17] which are generalization of [6, 7].

In the case where $b_1(Y) > 0$, the construction of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c})$ was discussed by Kronheimer and Manolescu in [10]. However Furuta pointed out that there is an obstruction for $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c})$ to be well defined. In this paper, we will construct a version of Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy types for Y with $b_1(Y) > 0$ and a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion, provided that Y satisfies a condition. Although we basically follow [10], we modify in some points. In particular, we will make use of a spectral section of a family of Dirac operators on Y, which was introduced by Melrose and Piazza in

Partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 25800040
MSC 2010: 57R57, 57R58

[21]. Using the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type, we will define a relative invariant for a 4-manifold with boundary, and construct a gluing formula for the stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants along a 3-manifold Y with $b_1(Y) > 0$. The precise statements are the following.

Let Y be a closed, oriented 3-manifold, g be a Riemannian metric on Y and $\mathfrak{c}$ be a spin-c structure on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion. We have a family of Dirac operators $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} = \{D_{A_h}\}_{[h]\in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ on Y parametrized by $\mathrm{Pic}(Y) = H^1(Y;\mathbb{R})/H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. (See Section 3.3.) Define q_Y by

$$q_Y : \Lambda^3 H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z},\ c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge c_3 \mapsto \langle c_1 \cup c_2 \cup c_3, [Y]\rangle .$$

Suppose $q_Y = 0$. Then the index $\operatorname{Ind} \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} \in K^1(\mathrm{Pic}(Y))$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is trivial ([11, Proposition 6]). By a result of Melrose and Piazza [21, Proposition 1], we can take a spectral section $\mathbf{P} = \{P_h\}_{[h]\in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Theorem 1. *Let Y be a closed 3-manifold, g be a Riemannian metric on Y and $\mathfrak{c}$ be a spin-c structure on Y. If $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ is torsion and $q_Y = 0$, then we can define a Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, H, g, \mathbf{P})$ as an object in a stable category $\mathfrak{C}$. (See Section 3.1 for the definition of $\mathfrak{C}$.) Here H is a submodule of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$ and $\mathbf{P}$ is a spectral section of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$.*

In this paper we do not discuss how $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, H, g, \mathbf{P})$ depends on g and $\mathbf{P}$.

For a closed, oriented 4-manifold X and a spin-c structure $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ on X, we have the invariant $\Psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ which is an element of $\pi^{b^+(X)}_{U(1)}(\mathrm{Pic}(X); \operatorname{Ind} \mathbf{D}_{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}})$ due to Bauer and Furuta [2]. Here $\pi^{b^+(X)}_{U(1)}(\mathrm{Pic}(X); \operatorname{Ind} \mathbf{D}_{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}})$ is a $U(1)$-equivariant stable cohomotopy group of the Thom space of the index bundle of Dirac operators on X parametrized by the Picard torus $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Let $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ be the restriction of $\Psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ to the fiber of $\operatorname{Ind} \mathbf{D}_{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$. We can generalize the invariant $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ to a 4-manifold with boundary.

Theorem 2. *Let Y be a closed, oriented 3-manifold with $q_Y = 0$. Take a Riemannian metric g, a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion, a submodule H of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$ and a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$. Let X_1 be a compact, oriented 4-manifold with $\partial X_1 = Y$, $\hat{g}_1$ be a Riemannian metric on X_1 with $\hat{g}_1|_Y = g$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1$ be a spin-c structure on X_1 with $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1|_Y = \mathfrak{c}$. We can define a relative invariant $\psi_{X_1,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1,H,g,\mathbf{P}}$ which is an element of a $U(1)$-equivariant stable homotopy group of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, H, g, \mathbf{P})$.*

Using the relative invariants, we can construct a gluing formula for $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$.

Theorem 3. *Let Y be a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold with $q_Y = 0$. (Note that we suppose that Y is connected as in [18].) Take a Riemannian metric g and a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion, a submodule H of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\{m_1h_1, \dots, m_bh_b\}$ and a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$. Here $b = b_1(Y)$, $\{h_1, \dots, h_b\}$ is a set of generators of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ and m_j is a positive integer. Let X be a closed, oriented 4-manifold which has a*

decomposition $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$ for some compact oriented 4-manifolds X_1 and X_2 with boundary Y and $-Y$. Suppose that we have a spin-c structure $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ on X with $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}|_Y = \mathfrak{c}$ and that m_j is sufficiently large for all j. Then we have

$$\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}} = \eta \circ \left(\psi_{X_1,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1,H,g,\mathbf{P}} \wedge \psi_{X_2,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_2,H,g,\mathbf{P}}\right)$$

in the category $\mathfrak{C}$. Here $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j = \hat{\mathfrak{c}}|_{X_j}$ and η is a S-duality morphism

$$\eta : \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}) \wedge \mathrm{SWF}(-Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}) \to S^0.$$

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Mikio Furuta for his suggestions. The author would like to thank Tirasan Khandhawit for information about the double Coulomb condition, and Yukio Kametani and Nobuhiro Nakamura for useful conversations. The author also would like to thank Ciprian Manolescu for sharing [18] with the author.

2. Conley index, mapping cone and duality

2.1. **Conley index.** Let γ be a smooth flow on a finite dimensional manifold Z. That is, γ is a smooth map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma : Z \times \mathbb{R} & \to & Z \\ (z, T) & \mapsto & \gamma(z, T) = z \cdot T \end{array}$$

such that

$$z \cdot 0 = z, \quad z \cdot (T + T') = (z \cdot T) \cdot T'.$$

For each subset $B \subset Z$, the maximal invariant set $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$ in B is given by

$$\mathrm{Inv}(B) = \{\ z \in Z \mid z \cdot \mathbb{R} \subset B\ \}.$$

If $B \cdot \mathbb{R} \subset B$, B is called an invariant set.

Let S be a compact invariant set in Z. If there is a compact neighborhood N of S in Z with $S = \mathrm{Inv}(N)$, then we say that S is an isolated invariant set, and N is called an isolating neighborhood of S.

Fact 4 ([3, 22])**.** Let S be an isolated invariant set and U be a neighborhood of S in Z. There is a pair (N, L) with the following properties:

(1) N and L are compact subspaces of Z with $L \subset N$.
(2) N is an isolating neighborhood of S with $N \subset U$.
(3) Take any point $z \in N$. If $z \cdot T_0 \notin N$ for some $T_0 > 0$, there is a positive number T with $0 < T < T_0$ such that $z \cdot T \in L$.
(4) L is positively invariant. That is, for $z \in L$ and $T > 0$ suppose that $z \cdot [0, T] \subset N$. Then $z \cdot [0, T] \subset L$.

The pair (N, L) is called an index pair of S.

The choice of index pair (N, L) of S is not unique, however, the homotopy type of the pointed space $(N/L, [L])$ is unique up to canonical homotopy equivalence. Let (N', L') be another index pair of S. We can define a

homotopy equivalence $(N/L,[L]) \to (N'/L',[L'])$ as follows. Take a large positive number T_0 such that for any $T > T_0$ we have

$$z \cdot [-T,T] \subset N\backslash L \Rightarrow z \in N'\backslash L',$$
$$z \cdot [-T,T] \subset N'\backslash L' \Rightarrow z \in N\backslash L.$$

For $T > T_0$ define

(1)

$$f_T : \begin{array}{ccc} N/L & \to & N'/L' \\ z & \mapsto & \begin{cases} z \cdot 3T & \text{if } z \cdot [0,2T] \subset N\backslash L,\ z \cdot [T,3T] \subset N'\backslash L', \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Then we can see that f_T is well defined, continuous and a homotopy equivalence from $(N/L,[L])$ to $(N'/L',[L'])$. See [22, Section 4] for details.

Definition 5. Let S be an isolated invariant set in Z and (N,L) be an index pair of S. We define the Conley index $I(S)$ of S to be the homotopy type of $(N/L,[L])$.

2.2. **Attractor-repeller sequence.** Let S be an isolated invariant set. A compact subset A of S is called an attractor in S if there is a compact neighborhood U of A in S with $A = \omega(U)$, and A is called an repeller if $A = \omega^*(U)$. Here

$$\omega(U) = \mathrm{Inv}(\mathrm{Cl}(U \cdot [0,\infty))) = \bigcap_{T>0} \mathrm{Cl}(U \cdot [T,\infty)),$$
$$\omega^*(U) = \mathrm{Inv}(\mathrm{Cl}(U \cdot (-\infty,0])) = \bigcap_{T<0} \mathrm{Cl}(U \cdot (-\infty,T]).$$

For any $B \subset Z$, $\mathrm{Cl}(B)$ stands for the closure of B in Z.

Let A be an attractor in S and put $A^* = \{z \in S | \omega(z) \cap A = \emptyset\}$. Then A^* is a repeller, called the complementary repeller of A. The pair (A,A^*) is called an attractor-repeller pair in S. We will construct index pairs for S, A and A^*, following [4, Section 3.2]. Let S_1 be the maximal attractor in $Z\backslash S$. Let S_2 be the set that consists of points on A, S_1 and trajectories in Z originating at A. We can see that S_2 is also an attractor in Z. Lastly let S_3 be the set that consists of points on S_2, A^* and trajectories in S originating at A^*. Then S_3 is an attractor in Z. Denote by R_j the complementary repeller of S_j in Z. We can take a Lyapunov function f_j associated with (S_j,R_j). (See p. 33 in [3].) That is, f_i is a continuous function $Z \to [0,1]$ such that

$$f_j^{-1}(0) = S_j,$$
$$f_j^{-1}(1) = R_j \textit{ and}$$
$$f_j \text{ is strictly decreasing on orbits in } Z\backslash(S_j \cup R_j).$$

Take a real number $a_j \in (0,1)$ for $j = 1,2,3$. Since $R_2 \subset R_1$, we can assume that

$$\{\ z \in Z \mid f_2(z) \geq a_2\ \} \subset \{\ z \in Z \mid f_1(z) \geq a_1\ \}.$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_S &:= \{\ z \in Z \mid f_1(z) \geq a_1,\ f_3(z) \leq a_3\ \}, \\
L_S &:= f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap N_S, \\
N_A &:= \{\ z \in Z \mid f_1(z) \geq a_1,\ f_2(z) \leq a_2,\ f_3(z) \leq a_3\}, \\
L_A &:= f_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap N_A\ (= L_S), \\
N_{A^*} &:= \{z \in Z|\ f_2(z) \geq a_2,\ f_3(z) \leq a_3\ \}, \\
L_{A^*} &:= f_2^{-1}(a_2) \cap N_{A^*}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

We can see that $(N_S, L_S), (N_A, L_A)$ and (N_{A^*}, L_{A^*}) are index pairs for S, A and A^* respectively. Since $N_A \subset N_S$ and $L_A = L_S$, we have the inclusion

$$I(A) = N_A/L_A \xrightarrow{i} I(S) = N_S/L_S.$$

Note that we have a natural identification

$$N_{A^*}/L_{A^*} = N_S/N_A.$$

Therefore we have the projection

$$I(S) = N_S/L_S = N_S/L_A \xrightarrow{j} I(A^*) = N_S/N_A.$$

Next we define a map

$$k : I(A^*) \longrightarrow \Sigma I(A).$$

Here $\Sigma I(A)$ is the suspension of $I(A)$. For a topological space W with base point w_0, the suspension of W is defined by the following:

$$\Sigma W = [0,1] \times W/\{0\} \times W \cup \{1\} \times W \cup [0,1] \times \{w_0\}.$$

Define a function $s' = s'_{A^*} : N_{A^*} \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$s'(z) = \sup\{\ T \geq 0 \mid z \cdot [0,T] \subset N_{A^*}\ \}$$

and put

$$s(z) = s_{A^*}(z) = \min\{s'(z), 1\}. \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 5.2 of [22], the function s is continuous. Define

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
k: & I(A^*) & \to & \Sigma I(A) \\
 & z & \mapsto & (1 - s(z), z \cdot s(z)).
\end{array}
$$

We can see that k is a well-defined and continuous map. Thus we have a sequence

$$I(A) \xrightarrow{i} I(S) \xrightarrow{j} I(A^*) \xrightarrow{k} \Sigma I(A) \xrightarrow{\Sigma i} \Sigma I(S) \xrightarrow{\Sigma j} \cdots \tag{4}$$

It is well known that this sequence is exact ([3, 22]) . To see the exactness of the sequence, we will construct a homotopy equivalence from $\Sigma I(S)$ to $C(k)$ explicitly. Here $C(k)$ is the mapping cone of k. In general, for a continuous

map $f : V \to W$ between topological spaces V and W with base points v_0 and w_0, the mapping cone $C(f)$ is defined by the following:

$$C(f) = [0,1] \times V \coprod W / \sim,$$
$$(1, v) \sim w_0,\ [0,1] \times \{v_0\} \sim w_0,\ (0, v) \sim f(v)\ (v \in V).$$

Define a function $a : (0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ by

$$a(s,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{s} + 1 - \frac{1}{s} & \text{if } 1 - s \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we define $\varphi : \Sigma I(S) \to C(k) = C(I(A^*)) \cup_k \Sigma I(A)$ by

$$\varphi(t,z) = \begin{cases} (a(s(z),t),z) \in C(I(A^*)) & \text{if } 1 - s(z) \leq t \leq 1, \\ (t, z \cdot s(z)) \in \Sigma I(A) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 - s(z). \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Here we think of $s = s_{A^*}$ as a function $N_S = N_{A^*} \cup N_A \to [0,1]$ by putting $s(z) = 0$ for $z \in N_A$. We can easily prove the following.

Lemma 6. *The map φ is well defined and continuous.*

Next we prove that φ is a homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 7. *The map φ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover the following diagram is homotopy commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I(A^*) & \xrightarrow{k} & \Sigma I(A) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma i} & \Sigma I(S) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma j} & \Sigma I(A^*) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \varphi}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\downarrow \\ I(A^*) & \xrightarrow{k} & \Sigma I(A) & \xrightarrow{i'} & C(k) & \xrightarrow{p'} & \Sigma I(A^*). \end{array} \tag{6}$$

Here i' and p' are the inclusion and projection respectively.

Proof. Define $\psi : C(k) \to \Sigma I(S)$ by

$$\psi(t,z) = \begin{cases} (1 - (1-t)s(z), z \cdot s(z)) & \text{if } (t,z) \in C(I(A^*)), \\ (t,z) & \text{if } (t,z) \in \Sigma I(A). \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

This is a well-defined and continuous map. It is easy to see that $\psi \circ \varphi \sim \mathrm{id}$ and $\varphi \circ \psi \sim \mathrm{id}$. We can also see that the above diagram is homotopy commutative. □

Since the second row in (6) is exact, we obtain:

Corollary 8 ([3, 22])**.** *The sequence (4) is exact.*

2.3. **Duality of mapping cones.** Let S, A, A^* be an isolated invariant set, an attractor in S and the complementary repeller of A in S respectively. Let $\bar{\gamma} : Z \times \mathbb{R} \to Z$ be the inverse flow of γ. Hence $\bar{\gamma}(z,T) = z \cdot (-T)$. Then A is a repeller, A^* is an attractor and (A^*, A) is an attractor-repeller pair in S with respect to $\bar{\gamma}$. As before we can define a continuous function $\bar{s} : N_A \to [0,1]$. We also have a continuous map $\bar{k} : \bar{I}(A) \to \Sigma \bar{I}(A^*)$ defined by $\bar{k}(z) = (1 - \bar{s}(z), z \cdot (-\bar{s}(z)))$. Here $\bar{I}$ stands for the Conley index with respect to the inverse flow $\bar{\gamma}$. Write $-\bar{k}$ for the map $\bar{I}(A) \to \Sigma I(A^*)$ defined

by $(-\bar{k})(z) = (\bar{s}(z), z \cdot (-\bar{s}(z)))$. From now on, we assume that Z is an n-dimensional sphere $S^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$. The pairs $(i, \bar{j})$, $(j, \bar{i})$ and $(k, -\bar{k})$ are Spanier-Whitehead dual [4]. Hence by [23, Theorem (6.10)] we have a duality map

$$\eta_C : C(k) \wedge C(-\bar{k}) \to \Sigma^2 S^n = S^{n+2}.$$

Our aim is to give an explicit expression of this map. According to [23], using our notation, η_C is given as follows. Choose duality maps

$$\eta_A : I(A) \wedge \overline{I}(A) \longrightarrow S^n,$$
$$\eta_{A^*} : I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A^*) \longrightarrow S^n.$$

Since k and $-\bar{k}$ are Spanier-Whitehead dual, the following diagram is homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A) & \xrightarrow{k \wedge \mathrm{id}} & \Sigma I(A) \wedge \overline{I}(A) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \wedge (-\bar{k})}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\eta_A} \\ I(A^*) \wedge \Sigma\overline{I}(A^*) & \xrightarrow[\Sigma\eta_{A^*}]{} & S^{n+1} = \Sigma S^n \end{array}$$

Fix a homotopy H between $\Sigma\eta_A \circ (k \wedge \mathrm{id})$ and $\Sigma\eta_{A^*} \circ (\mathrm{id} \wedge (-\bar{k}))$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} &H : [0,1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A)) \to S^{n+1} = \Sigma S^n, \\ &H(0, \cdot) = \Sigma\eta_A \circ (k \wedge \mathrm{id}), \\ &H(1, \cdot) = \Sigma\eta_{A^*} \circ (\mathrm{id} \wedge (-\bar{k})), \\ &H(u, *) = * \quad (\forall u \in [0,1]). \end{aligned}$$

Take $(t, z) \in C(I(A^*))$, $(s, w) \in \Sigma I(A)$, $(s', w') \in C(\bar{I}(A))$, $(t', z') \in \Sigma\bar{I}(A^*)$, where $t, s, s', t' \in [0,1]$. Then the duality map η_C is defined by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_C((t,z) \wedge (t',z')) &= (t, t', \eta_{A^*}(z \wedge z')), \\ \eta_C((s,w) \wedge (s',w')) &= (s', s, \eta_A(w \wedge w')), \\ \eta_C((t,z) \wedge (s',w')) &= \begin{cases} (s', H(\frac{t}{2s'}, z \wedge w')) & \text{if } t \le s', s' \neq 0, \\ (t, H(1 - \frac{s'}{2t}, z \wedge w')) & \text{if } s' \le t, t \neq 0, \end{cases} \\ \eta_C((w,s) \wedge (z',t')) &= *. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

To get the explicit expression of η_C, we need to choose η_A, η_{A^*} and H concretely.

We can write η_A as follows. (See [5, Section 3] and [15, Section 2.5]. See also [20].) Assume that S does not include ∞. We may suppose that N_S lies in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset Z = S^n$. Fix small positive numbers ϵ and δ with $0 < \epsilon < \delta \ll 1$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} N'_A &= N_A - \overline{L}_A \times [0, \delta), \\ N''_A &= N_A - L_A \times [0, \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here $\overline{L}_A \times [0,\delta)$ stands for a neighborhood $\{z \in N_A | \operatorname{dist}(z, \overline{L}_A) < \delta\}$ of $\overline{L}_A$ in N_A which is homeomorphic to $L_A \times [0,\delta)$. Similarly for $L_A \times [0,\delta)$. Take continuous maps

$$m_1 : N_A \to N'_A,\ m_2 : N_A \to N''_A \tag{10}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|w - m_1(w)\| < 2\delta,\ m_1(L_A) \subset L_A,\ \operatorname{dist}(m_1(\overline{L}_A), \overline{L}_A) > \delta, \\ &\|w' - m_2(w')\| < 2\delta,\ m_2(\overline{L}_A) \subset \overline{L}_A,\ \operatorname{dist}(m_2(L_A), L_A) > \delta. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Define $\eta_A : I(A) \wedge \overline{I}(A) \to S^n$ by

$$\eta_A(w \wedge w') = \begin{cases} m_1(w) - m_2(w') & \text{if } \|m_1(w) - m_2(w')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here we think of S^n as $D^n(\epsilon)/S^{n-1}(\epsilon)$. This map is well defined and a duality map of $I(A)$ and $\overline{I}(A)$.

Similarly $\eta_{A^*} : I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A^*) \to S^n$ is defined by

$$\eta_{A^*}(z \wedge z') = \begin{cases} n_1(z) - n_2(z') & \text{if } \|n_1(z) - n_2(z')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $n_1 : N_{A^*} \to N'_{A^*}$ and $n_2 : N_{A^*} \to N''_{A^*}$ are maps satisfying the conditions similar to (11).

Finally we write H explicitly. Put $M = \Sigma\eta_A \circ (k \wedge \mathrm{id})$, $N = \Sigma\eta_{A^*} \circ (\mathrm{id} \wedge (-\bar{k}))$. Then we have

$$M(z \wedge w') =$$

$$\begin{cases} (1 - s(z), m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w')) & \text{if } \|m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$N(z \wedge w') =$$

$$\begin{cases} (\overline{s}(w'), n_1(z) - n_2(w' \cdot (-\overline{s}(w')))) & \text{if } \|n_1(z) - m_2(w' \cdot (-\overline{s}(w')))\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We have to construct a homotopy between M and N. The homotopy H consists of four homotopies H^j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$.

Define

$$H^1 : [0,1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A)) \longrightarrow S^{n+1} = \Sigma S^n$$

by

$$H^1(u, z \wedge w') =$$

$$\begin{cases} (1 - s(z), \hat{m}^1(u, z, w')) & \text{if } \|\hat{m}^1(u, z, w')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Here

$$\hat{m}^1(u, z, w') = m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w' \cdot (-u\bar{s}(w'))).$$

Lemma 9. *The map H^1 is well defined.*

Proof. We need to show that $H^1(u, z \wedge w') = *$ if $z \in L_{A^*}$ or $w' \in \overline{L}_A$. Let $z \in L_A$. Then $s(z) = 0$. Hence $H^1(u, z \wedge w') = *$ since the first component of H^1 is 1. Suppose that $w' \in \overline{L}_A$. Then $\overline{s}(w') = 0$. If $s(z) = 1$, $H^1(u, z \wedge w') = *$ since the first component of H^1 is 0. Suppose $s(z) < 1$. Then $z \cdot s(z)$ lies in $L_{A^*} \subset \overline{L}_A$. Hence

$$\|m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w \cdot (-u\bar{s}(w'))\| = \|m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w)\| > \delta > \epsilon$$

by (11). Therefore $H^1(u, z \wedge w') = *$. □

Put

$$\hat{m}^1(z, w) = \hat{m}^1(1, z, w) = m_1(z \cdot s(z)) - m_2(w' \cdot (-\bar{s}(w'))).$$

By Lemma 9, M is homotopic to

$$H^1(1, \cdot) : I(A) \wedge \bar{I}(A^*) \to \Sigma S^n, \tag{13}$$

$$H^1(1, z \wedge w') = \begin{cases} (1 - s(z), \hat{m}^1(z, w)) & \text{if } \|\hat{m}^1(z, w)\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To define the second homotopy $H^2 : [0, 1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A)) \to \Sigma S^n$, choose extensions $\tilde{m}_j : N_S \to N_S$ and $\tilde{n}_j : N_S \to N_S$ of m_j and n_j. We may suppose that

$$\begin{gathered} \|\tilde{m}_j(z) - z\| < 2\delta, \quad \|\tilde{n}_j(z) - z\| < 2\delta \quad (z \in N_S), \\ f_3(\tilde{m}_1(z)) < a_3 \quad (z \in \overline{L}_S), \\ f_1(\tilde{n}_2(z)) > a_1 \quad (z \in L_S), \\ \tilde{m}_1(z) = \tilde{n}_1(z) \quad (z \in L_S), \\ \tilde{m}_2(z) = \tilde{n}_2(z) \quad (z \in \overline{L}_S). \end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

and that $\tilde{m}_j$ and $\tilde{n}_j$ are homotopic to the identity of N_S. Here f_1, f_3 and a_1, a_3 are the Lyapunov functions and the positive numbers that appeared in (2). In particular, we have a homotopy

$$\begin{gathered} h_j : [0, 1] \times N_S \to N_S, \\ h_j(0, \cdot) = \tilde{m}_j, \quad h_j(1, \cdot) = \tilde{n}_j. \end{gathered} \tag{15}$$

We may suppose that

$$\|h_j(u, z)\| < 2\delta \quad (u \in [0, 1], z \in N_S)$$

and that

$$h_1(u, z) = \tilde{m}_1(z) = \tilde{n}_1(z) \quad (u \in [0, 1], z \in L_S), \tag{16}$$

$$h_2(u, z) = \tilde{m}_2(z) = \tilde{n}_2(z) \quad (u \in [0, 1], z \in \overline{L}_S), \tag{17}$$

$$f_1(h_2(u, z)) > a_1 \quad (u \in [0, 1], z \in N_S), \tag{18}$$

$$f_2(h_1(u, z)) < a_2 \quad (u \in [0, 1), z \in L_{A^*}), \tag{19}$$

$$f_2(h_2(u, z)) > a_2 \quad (u \in (0, 1], z \in L_{A^*}), \tag{20}$$

$$f_3(h_1(u, z)) < a_3 \quad (u \in [0, 1], z \in N_S). \tag{21}$$

Note that

$$h_1(u, L_{A^*}) \cap h_2(u, L_{A^*}) = \emptyset \ (\forall u \in [0, 1])$$

by (19) and (20). Hence if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough,

$$\mathrm{dist}(h_1(u, L_{A^*}), h_2(u, L_{A^*})) > \epsilon \ (\forall u \in [0,1]) \tag{22}$$

since L_{A^*} and the interval $[0,1]$ are compact. Similarly by (21) and (17) we have

$$\mathrm{dist}(h_1(u, N_S), h_2(u, \overline{L}_S)) > \epsilon \ (\forall u \in [0,1]) \tag{23}$$

if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough. Define $H^2 : [0,1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \bar{I}(A)) \to \Sigma S^n$ by

$$H^2(u, z \wedge w') = \begin{cases} (1 - s(z), \hat{h}(u, z, w')) & \text{if } \|\hat{h}(u, z, w')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

$$\hat{h}(u, z, w') = h_1(u, z \cdot s(z)) - h_2(u, w' \cdot (-\bar{s}(w'))).$$

Lemma 10. *The map H^2 is well defined.*

Proof. We need to show that $H(u, z \wedge w') = *$ if $z \in L_{A^*}$ or $w' \in \overline{L}_A$.

Let $z \in L_{A^*}, w' \in N_A$. Then $s(z) = 0$ and the first component of H^2 is 1. Hence $H^2(u, z \wedge w') = *$.

Let $z \in N_{A^*}$ and $w' \in \overline{L}_A$. Assume that $s(z) = 1$, then the first component of H^2 is 0. Hence $H^2 = *$. Assume that $s(z) < 1$. We have $z \cdot s(z) \in L_{A^*}$. If $w' \in L_{A^*} \subset \overline{L}_A$, by (22) we have

$$\|\hat{h}(u, z, w')\| > \epsilon.$$

Hence we have $H^2(z \wedge w, u) = *$. Suppose that $w' \in \overline{L}_A \backslash L_{A^*} \subset \overline{L}_S$. By (23) we have

$$\|\hat{h}(u, z, w')\| > \epsilon.$$

Therefore $H^2(u, z \wedge w') = *$. □

The map H^2 is a homotopy from (13) to

$$H^2(1, \cdot) : I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A) \longrightarrow S^{n+1} \tag{25}$$

$$H^2(1, z \wedge w') = \begin{cases} (1 - s(z), \hat{n}(z, w)) & \text{if } \|\hat{n}(z, w)\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\hat{n}(z, w) = \tilde{n}_1(z \cdot s(z)) - \tilde{n}_2(w' \cdot \bar{s}(w')).$$

Define the third homotopy $H^3 : [0,1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A)) \to \Sigma S^n$ by

$$H^3(u, z \wedge w') = \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{cases} ((1-u)(1 - s(z)) + u\bar{s}(w'), \hat{n}(z, w)) & \text{if } \|\hat{n}(z, w)\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 11. *The map H^3 is well defined.*

Proof. If $s(z) = 0$, $\bar{s}(w') < 1$ or $s(z) < 1$, $\bar{s}(w') = 0$ then

$$\|\hat{n}(z, w)\| > \epsilon$$

as proved in the proof of the previous lemma. Hence $H^3(z \wedge w', u) = *$. Assume that $s(z) = 0$ and $\bar{s}(w') = 1$. In this case, the first component of H^3 is 1. Hence $H^3 = *$. Assume that $s(z) = 1$ and $\bar{s}(w') = 0$. Then the first component of H^3 is 0. Hence $H^3 = *$. □

The map H^3 is a homotopy from (25) to

$$H^3(1, \cdot) : I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A) \longrightarrow \Sigma S^n \tag{27}$$

$$H^3(1, z \wedge w') = \begin{cases} (\bar{s}(w'), \hat{n}(z, w)) & \text{if } \|\hat{n}(z, w)\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lastly define $H^4 : [0, 1] \times (I(A^*) \wedge \overline{I}(A)) \to \Sigma S^n$ by

$$H^4(u, z \wedge w') = \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{cases} (\bar{s}(w'), \hat{n}(u, z, w)) & \text{if } \|\hat{n}(u, z, w)\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\hat{n}(u, z, w) = \tilde{n}_1(z \cdot (1 - u)s(z)) - \tilde{n}_2(w' \cdot \bar{s}(w')).$$

Lemma 12. *The map H^4 is well defined.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 9. □

The map H^4 is a homotopy between (27) and N. Thus we have the homotopy H between M and N:

$$H(u, z \wedge w') = \begin{cases} H^1(4u, z \wedge w') & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ H^2(4u - 1, z \wedge w') & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq u \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ H^3(4u - 2, z \wedge w') & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq u \leq \frac{3}{4}, \\ H^4(4u - 3, z \wedge w') & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq u \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Substituting the definitions of η_A, η_A* and H into (8), we get the explicit formula for η_C. We use the formula to prove the following:

Lemma 13. *The following diagram is homotopy commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma I(S) \wedge \Sigma \bar{I}(S) & \xrightarrow{-\Sigma^2 \eta_S} & \Sigma^2 S^n \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi \wedge \bar{\varphi}} \downarrow & & \| \\ C(k) \wedge C(-\bar{k}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_C} & \Sigma^2 S^n \end{array}$$

Here φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are the homotopy equivalences defined in Section 2.2.

Proof. From the construction of η_A, η_{A^*}, H and the definition of η_C, we have

$$\eta_C(\varphi(t,z) \wedge \bar{\varphi}(t',z')) = \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{cases} (a(s(z),t), 1-t', \eta_{A^*}(z \wedge z' \cdot (-\bar{s}(z')))) & \text{if} \begin{cases} 1-s(z) \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq t' \leq 1-\bar{s}(z') \end{cases} \\ (a(\bar{s}'(z'),t'), t, \eta_A(z \cdot s(z) \wedge z')) & \text{if} \begin{cases} 0 \leq t \leq 1-s(z), \\ 1-\bar{s}(z') \leq t' \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ (a(\bar{s}(z'),t'), H(A(\zeta), z \wedge z')) & \text{if} \begin{cases} 1-s(z) \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1-\bar{s}(z') \leq t' \leq 1, \\ a(s(z),t) \leq a(\bar{s}(z'),t'), \\ a(\bar{s}(z'),t') \neq 0, \end{cases} \\ (a(s(z),t), H\left(A'(\zeta), z \wedge z'\right)) & \text{if} \begin{cases} 1-s(z) \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1-\bar{s}(z') \leq t' \leq 1, \\ a(\bar{s}(z'),t') \leq a(s(z),t), \\ a(s(z),t) \neq 0, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{if} \begin{cases} 0 \leq t \leq 1-s(x), \\ 0 \leq t' \leq 1-\bar{s}(z'). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\zeta = (t,t',z,z'), \quad A(\zeta) = \frac{a(s(z),t)}{2a(\bar{s}(z'),t')}, \quad A(\zeta') = 1 - \frac{a(\bar{s}(z'),t')}{2a(s(z),t)}.$$

We can write as

$$\mu_C(\varphi(t,z) \wedge \bar{\varphi}(t',z')) \tag{31}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (s_1(\zeta), s_2(\zeta), l_1(\zeta) - l_2(\zeta)) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|l_1(\zeta) - l_2(\zeta)\| < \epsilon, \\ 1-s(z) \leq t \leq 1 \text{ or } 1-\bar{s}(z') \leq t' \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here s_j is a continuous function of ζ with values in $[0,1]$, and $l_j : N_S \to N_S$ is a continuous map. By the construction, we can write

$$l_1(\zeta) = h_1(t_1(\zeta), z \cdot \tau_1(\zeta))$$

using the homotopy (15) and some continuous functions $t_1(\zeta)$ and $\tau_1(\zeta)$, and similarly we can write

$$l_2(\zeta) = h_2(t_2(\zeta), z' \cdot \tau_2(\zeta)).$$

On the other hand

$$\eta_S(z \wedge z') =$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z') & \text{if } \|\tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z')\| < \epsilon, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{m}_j$ is the extension of m_j satisfying (14). Define a homotopy H' by

$$H' : [0,1] \times (\Sigma I(S) \wedge \Sigma \bar{I}(S)) \to \Sigma^2 S^n$$

$$H'(u,\zeta) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (s_1(\zeta), s_2(\zeta), H_1'(u,\zeta) - H_2'(u,\zeta)) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|H_1'(u,\zeta) - H_2'(u,\zeta)\| < \epsilon, \\ 1 - s(z) \le t \le 1 \text{ or } 1 - \bar{s}(z') \le t' \le 1, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$H_1'(u,\zeta) = h_1((1-u)t_1(\zeta), z \cdot (1-u)\tau_1(\zeta)),$$
$$H_2'(u,\zeta) = h_2((1-u)t_2(\zeta), z' \cdot (1-u)\tau_2(\zeta)).$$

We can see that H' is homotopy from $\eta_C \circ (\varphi \wedge \bar{\varphi})$ to

$$H'(1,\cdot) : \Sigma I(S) \wedge \Sigma I(S) \to \Sigma^2 S^n$$

$$H'(1,\zeta) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (s_1(\zeta), s_2(\zeta), \tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z')) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|\tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z')\| < \epsilon, \\ 1 - s(z) \le t \le 1 \text{ or } 1 - \bar{s}(z') \le t' \le 1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

From (30) we can see that $s_j(\zeta)$ is a function of t, t', $s(z)$ and $\bar{s}(z')$. For $u \in [0,1]$, let $s_j(u,\zeta)$ be the function obtained from $s_j(\zeta)$, replacing $s(z)$ and $\bar{s}(z')$ by $s(u,z) = (1-u)s(z) + u$ and $\bar{s}(u,z') = (1-u)\bar{s}(z')$ respectively. Define $H'' : [0,1] \times (\Sigma I(S) \wedge \Sigma \bar{I}(S)) \to \Sigma^2 S^n$ by

$$H''(u,\zeta) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (s_1(u,\zeta), s_2(u,\zeta), \tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z')) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|\tilde{m}_1(z) - \tilde{m}_2(z')\| < \epsilon, \\ 1 - s_1(u,\zeta) \le t \le 1 \text{ or} \\ 1 - s_2(u,\zeta) \le t' \le 1, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can show that H'' is well defined and a homotopy from $H'(1,\cdot)$ to $-\Sigma^2 \eta_S$. □

3. Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type

3.1. **Definition of stable homotopy category.** Following [14] and [19], we introduce a category $\mathfrak{C}$ which we will need to define the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type. An object of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a triple (Z, m, n), where Z is a pointed $U(1)$-topological space which is homotopy equivalent to a $U(1)$-CW complex and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Q}$. For objects (Z, m, n) and (Z', m', n') in $\mathfrak{C}$, the set of morphisms from (Z, m, n) to (Z', m', n') is empty if $n - n' \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and is defined by

$$\{(Z,m,n),(Z',m',n')\}^{S^1} = \lim_{\substack{k\to\infty \\ l\to\infty}} [\Sigma^{\mathbb{R}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^l} Z,\ \Sigma^{\mathbb{R}^{k+m-m'} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l+n-n'}} Z']_0^{S^1}.$$

if $n - n' \in \mathbb{Z}$. In $\mathfrak{C}$, the suspensions $(\Sigma^{\mathbb{R}} Z, m, n)$ and $(\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} Z, m, n)$ are canonically isomorphic to $(Z, m-1, n)$ and $(Z, m, n-1)$ respectively. For an object

$\mathcal{Z} = (Z, m, n) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C})$, we denote $(Z, m + m', n + n')$ by $(\mathcal{Z}, m', n')$. Let E be a direct sum of a real vector space $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a complex vector bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. We define an $U(1)$-action on E by the multiplications on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. We define a desuspension $\Sigma^{-E}\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ by E to be

$$(\Sigma^E Z, m + 2\dim_{\mathbb{R}} E_{\mathbb{R}}, n + 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

We can see that $\Sigma^E\Sigma^{-E}\mathcal{Z}$ and $\Sigma^{-E}\Sigma^E\mathcal{Z}$ are canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}$.

3.2. **Chern-Simons-Dirac functional.** Let Y be an oriented, closed 3-manifold and choose a Riemannian metric g and a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ of Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion, where $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ is the first Chern class of the determinant line bundle of $\mathfrak{c}$. Write $\mathbb{S}$ for the spinor bundle on Y associated with $\mathfrak{c}$. Fix a flat connection A_0 on $\det \mathfrak{c}$. The Chern-Simons-Dirac functional is defined by the following formula:

$$CSD : V = L^2_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\sqrt{-1}\ker d^*\right) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S})\right) \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$CSD(a, \phi) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_Y a \wedge da + \int_Y \langle \phi, D_{A_0+a}\phi\rangle \, d\mu_g\right).$$

Here $d^* : \Omega^1(Y) \to \Omega^0(Y)$ is the adjoint of $d : \Omega^0(Y) \to \Omega^1(Y)$, D_{A_0+a} is the twisted Dirac operator associated with $A_0 + a$. The critical points of CSD are monopoles on Y and the gradient flows of V are monopoles on $Y \times \mathbb{R}$. We have an action of $U(1) \times H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ on V defined as follows. Fix a point $y_0 \in Y$. For $h \in H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ we have a smooth map $g : Y \to U(1)$ such that $g^{-1}dg = h$ and $g(y_0) = 1$. Here we have considered h to be a harmonic 1-form on Y. For $(z, h) \in U(1) \times H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, $(a, \phi) \in V$, we define

$$(z, h) \cdot (a, \phi) = (a - 2h, zg\phi).$$

Using the fact that $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion, we can see that CSD is invariant under the action of $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. The gradient vector field ∇CSD of CSD is given by

$$\nabla CSD : V \to V,\ \nabla CSD(a, \phi) = (*da + q(\phi), D_{A_0+a}\phi).$$

Here $q(\phi)$ is a 1-form on Y defined by $\rho^{-1}(\phi \otimes \phi^* - \frac{1}{2}|\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{id})$ and ρ is the Clifford multiplication.

3.3. **Spectral section.** We need a tool called a spectral section to define the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type, which was introduced by Melrose and Piazza [21]. Let $\mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)$ be the space of harmonic 1-forms on Y. For each harmonic 1-form $h \in \mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)$, put

$$A_h = A_0 - 2\sqrt{-1}h.$$

We have a family of Dirac operators $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} = \{D_{A_h}\}_{[h]\in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ on Y parametrized by $\mathrm{Pic}(Y) = H^1(Y; \mathbb{R})/H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} : & \frac{\mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)\times\Gamma(\mathbb{S})}{H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})} & \to & \frac{\mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)\times\Gamma(\mathbb{S})}{H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})} \\ & [h, \phi] & \mapsto & [h, D_{A_h}\phi] \end{array}$$

Here we have used $\mathcal{H}^1_g(Y) \cong H^1(Y;\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 14. Let $\mathbf{P} = \{P_h\}_{[h]\in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ be a family of self-adjoint projections on $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ parametrized by $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$. (For each $h \in H^1(Y;\mathbb{R})$, we have an operator P_h and P_h is equivariant with respect to the $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$-action.) We call $\mathbf{P}$ a spectral section of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ if there is a smooth function $R : \mathrm{Pic}(Y) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that if $D_{A_h} u = \lambda u$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$P_h u = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } \lambda > R(h), \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda < -R(h). \end{cases}$$

The family $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ of Dirac operators on Y defines the index $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ as an element of $K^1(\mathrm{Pic}(Y))$. See [1]. Suppose that

$$q_Y : \Lambda^3 H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z},\ c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge c_3 \mapsto \langle c_1 \cup c_2 \cup c_3, [Y]\rangle$$

is trivial. This is equivalent to the condition that $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} = 0 \in K^1(\mathrm{Pic}(Y))$. See [11, Proposition 6]. By Proposition 1 of [21], the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}} \in K^1(\mathrm{Pic}(Y))$ implies the existence of a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$. Fix a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$. According to [21] we can construct a family of self-adjoint smoothing operators $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{P}} = \{B^{\mathbf{P}}_h\}_{[h]\in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ parametrized by $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ with the following property:

(1) The image of $B^{\mathbf{P}}_h$ is included in a subspace of $\Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ spanned by a finite number of eigenvectors of D_{A_h}.
(2) $D^{\mathbf{P}}_h = D_{A_h} + B^{\mathbf{P}}_h$ is invertible.
(3) The operator P_h is the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer projection onto the positive eigenspace of $D^{\mathbf{P}}_h$.

3.4. **Transverse double system.** From now on we assume that $b_1(Y) = 1$ and $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ is torsion. Following [10, Section 4], we introduce a transverse double system. For $R > 0$, put

$$Str(R) = \{\ (a,\phi) \in V \mid \exists h \in H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z}), \|h\cdot(a,\phi)\|_{L^2_k} \le R\ \}.$$

Let $h_1 \in H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ be a generator. We have a natural decomposition $V = \sqrt{-1}(h_1\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathrm{im}\, d^*) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$, where we consider h_1 as a harmonic 1-form on Y. Let $p : V \to \sqrt{-1}h_1\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$ be the projection.

Definition 15. A transverse double system is a pair (f_1, f_2) of smooth functions $f_1, f_2 : Str(R) \to \mathbb{R}$ having the following properties:

(1) There is a positive number $M > 0$ such that $f_i(y) < 0$ if $p(y) < -M$ and $f_i(y) > 0$ if $p(y) > M$.
(2) If $f_i(y) \ge 0$ then $f_i(h_1 \cdot y) \ge 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.
(3) If $f_1(y) = 0$ then $\langle \nabla CSD(y), \nabla f_1(y)\rangle > 0$, and if $f_2(y) = 0$ then $\langle \nabla CSD(y), \nabla f_2(y)\rangle < 0$.

Lemma 16 ([10])**.** *There exists a transverse double system.*

The third condition in Definition 15 means that the zero set of f_i and the gradient flow of CSD intersect transversely. Since CSD is invariant under

the action of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$, the intersection of the set $h_1^n\{y \in Str(R) | f_i(x) = 0\}$ and the gradient flow is also transverse for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Fix a transverse double system (f_1, f_2) and put

$$A_n = h_1^n\{\ y \in Str(R) \mid f_1(y) \leq 0\ \},\ B_n = h_1^n\{\ y \in Str(R) \mid f_2(y) \leq 0\ \}.$$

It follows from the second property in Definition 15 that

$$A_n \subset A_{n+1},\ B_n \subset B_{n+1}$$

for every integer n. Let

$$U_n = A_{n+1} \backslash A_n,\ V_n = B_{n+1} \backslash B_n.$$

From the first condition in Definition 15, $p(U_n)$ and $p(V_n)$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. This means that U_n and V_n are bounded. Hence U_n intersect only finite many V_n's. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that they are $V_{n+1}, V_{n+2}, \dots, V_{n+N}$. Put

$$W_n^i = U_n \cap V_{n+i}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

3.5. **Conley index of W_n^i.** As in the previous subsection, suppose that $b_1(Y) = 1$ and $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ is torsion. Note that when $b_1(Y) = 1$, q_Y is always trivial. Fix a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$. We can decompose the gradient vector field ∇CSD of CSD as $l_0^{\mathbf{P}} + c_0^{\mathbf{P}}$, where $l_0^{\mathbf{P}} = *d \oplus D_0^{\mathbf{P}} : V \to V$, $c_0^{\mathbf{P}} = \nabla CSD - l_0^{\mathbf{P}} : V \to V$ is a compact map, and $D_0^{\mathbf{P}} = D_{A_0} + B_0^{\mathbf{P}}$. Choose real numbers λ, μ with $\lambda < \mu$, and let $V_\lambda^\mu = V_\lambda^\mu(A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$ be the subspace of V spanned by eigenvectors of $l_0^{\mathbf{P}}$ with eigenvalues in $(\lambda, \mu]$. We denote the L^2-projection $V \to V_\lambda^\mu$ by p_λ^μ. Let $\gamma_\lambda^\mu = \gamma_\lambda^{\mu, A_0, g, \mathbf{P}}$ be the flow on V_λ^μ induced by $\nabla_\lambda^\mu CSD := l_0^{\mathbf{P}} + p_\lambda^\mu c_0^{\mathbf{P}} : V_\lambda^\mu \to V_\lambda^\mu$.

The maximal invariant set $\mathrm{Inv}(W_n^i \cap V_\lambda^\mu; \gamma_\lambda^\mu)$ of γ_λ^μ in $W_n^i \cap V_\lambda^\mu$ lies in the interior of $W_n^i \cap V_\lambda^\mu$ when R, $-\lambda$ and μ are large enough. (See [10].) This means that we can define the Conley index $I_\lambda^\mu(W_n^i) = I_\lambda^\mu(W_n^i; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$ of $\mathrm{Inv}(W_n^i \cap V_\lambda^\mu; \gamma_\lambda^\mu)$. As in [14] we can show the following:

Lemma 17. *For large $-\lambda, \mu > 0$, $\Sigma^{-V_\lambda^0} I_\lambda^\mu(W_n^i)$ is independent of the choice of λ, μ up to canonical homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We may suppose that $\lambda' < \lambda$ and $\mu' > \mu$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, put

$$p_t = (1-t)p_{\lambda'}^{\mu'} + t p_\lambda^\mu : V \to V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}.$$

Here we have used the fact that $V_\lambda^\mu \subset V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}$. Consider the flow γ_t on $V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}$ defined by the vector field

$$l + p_t c p_t : V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'} \to V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}.$$

It is easy to see that if $R > 0$, $-\lambda, -\lambda', \mu$ and μ' are large enough, $W_n^i \cap V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}$ is an isolating neighborhood of $\mathrm{Inv}(W_n^i \cap V_{\lambda'}^{\mu'}; \gamma_t)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence

we have the canonical homotopy equivalence

$$I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_n;\gamma^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}) = I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_n;\gamma_0) \overset{\sim}{\to} I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_n;\gamma_1)$$

defined as (1). The flow γ_1 is equal to the flow defined by $l|_{V'} \times (l + p^{\mu}_{\lambda} c)$ on $V^{\mu'}_{\lambda'} = V' \times V^{\mu}_{\lambda}$. Here V' is the orthogonal complement of V^{μ}_{λ} in $V^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}$. Therefore we have

$$I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_n;\gamma_1) = \Sigma^{V^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}} I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_n;\gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda})$$

Thus we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\Sigma^{-V^0_{\lambda'}} I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_n) \overset{\cong}{\to} \Sigma^{-V^0_{\lambda}} I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_n).$$

□

We put

$$J(W^i_n) = J(W^i_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) := \Sigma^{-V^0_{\lambda}} I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_n) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Remark 18. If $-\lambda, \mu \gg 0$, the Conley index $I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{P}$ up to canonical homotopy equivalence since the image of $B^{\mathbf{P}}_0$ is included in a finite number of eigenvectors of D_{A_0}. Hence $J(W^i_n)$ depends on $\mathbf{P}$ only through $V^0_{\lambda} = V^0_{\lambda}(A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$.

3.6. **Isomorphism between $J(W^i_n)$ and $J(W^i_{n+1})$.** In this subsection, we will see that $J(W^i_n)$ and $J(W^i_{n+1})$ are canonically isomorphic to each other and write the isomorphism explicitly. We have the isomorphism induced by the gauge transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(W^i_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) & \overset{\cong}{\to} & J(W^i_{n+1}; A_0 - 2\sqrt{-1}h_1, g, \mathbf{P}) \\ y & \mapsto & h_1 y \end{array} \tag{32}$$

Here h_1 is the fixed generator of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. For $s \in [-1, 0]$, put $A_s := A_0 + 2s\sqrt{-1}h_1$. Write $\tilde{D}_s = D_{A_s} + B^{\mathbf{P}}_{sh_1}$. Fix $s \in [-1, 0]$. We can find $-\lambda, \mu \gg 0$ such that λ and μ are not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_s$. Take $s' \in [0, 1]$ with $s < s'$, $|s - s'| \ll 1$. Then λ and μ are still not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_{s'}$, and the dimension $\dim V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(A_{s''}, g, \mathbf{P})$ is independent of $s'' \in [s, s']$. The restriction of the L^2-projection $p^{\mu}_{\lambda,s'} : V \to V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s') = V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P})$ to $V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s) = V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(A_s, g, \mathbf{P})$ gives an isomorphism

$$\tilde{f}_{ss'} : V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s) \overset{\cong}{\to} V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s').$$

Lemma 19. *We can take $T_0 > 0$ independent of λ and μ such that for $T > T_0$, large $-\lambda, \mu$ and $s' > s$ with $|s - s'|$ small, we can define a $U(1)$-equivariant homotopy equivalence $\hat{f}_{s,s';T} : I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_{n+1}; A_s) \to I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'})$.*

Proof. Let (N, L) and (N', L') be index pairs for $\mathrm{Inv}(W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s))$ and $\mathrm{Inv}(W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s'))$ such that $N \subset W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s)$, $N' \subset W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s')$. Identifying $V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s)$ and $V^{\mu}_{\lambda}(s')$ with $\tilde{f}_{ss'}$, we want to define $\hat{f}_{s,s';T}$ by the

formula (1). We need to show that we can find $T_0 > 0$ independent of λ, μ such that for $T > T_0$, large $-\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $s' > s$ with $|s - s'|$ small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot [0,T] \subset N \backslash L &\Rightarrow \tilde{f}_{ss'}(y) \in N' \backslash L', \\ y \cdot [0,T] \subset N' \backslash L' &\Rightarrow \tilde{f}_{ss'}^{-1}(y) \in N \backslash L. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Suppose that the first condition in (33) does not hold. Then there exist sequences $T_\alpha, -\lambda_\alpha, \mu_\alpha \to \infty$, $s'_\alpha \searrow s$ and sequences (N_α, L_α), (N'_α, L'_α) of index pairs of $\mathrm{Inv}(W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu_\alpha}_{\lambda_\alpha}(s))$, $\mathrm{Inv}(W^i_{n+1} \cap V^{\mu_\alpha}_{\lambda_\alpha}(s'))$ with $N_\alpha, N'_\alpha \subset W^i_{n+1}$ such that

$$\exists y_\alpha \in N_{s,\alpha},\ y_\alpha \cdot [0, T_\alpha] \subset N_\alpha \backslash L_\alpha,\ \tilde{f}_{ss'_\alpha}(y_\alpha) \notin N'_\alpha \backslash L'_\alpha.$$

Since $y_\alpha \cdot [0, T_\alpha] \subset W^i_n$, the energy of the trajectory

$$\hat{x}_\alpha : [0, T_\alpha] \to V,\ \hat{x}_\alpha(T) = y_\alpha \cdot T$$

is bounded by a constant independent of α. This implies that there is a subsequence α' such that $x_{\alpha'}$ converges to a finite energy trajectory

$$\hat{x} : [0, \infty) \to V$$

on each compact set in $[0, \infty)$ (See [12, Section 5]) , and the limit $\hat{x}(\infty)$ is a critical point of CSD in W^i_{n+1}. On the other hand, the condition that $\tilde{f}_{ss'_\alpha}(y_{\alpha'}) \notin N'_{\alpha'} \backslash L'_{\alpha'}$ implies that the limit $\hat{x}(\infty)$ should be in W^j_m for some $j > i$ and m. This is a contradiction. The proof for the second condition in (33) is similar.

□

Taking desuspension, we get an isomorphism

$$f_{ss'} : J(W^i_{n+1}; A_s, g, \mathbf{P}) \overset{\cong}{\to} J(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}) \tag{34}$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$. Here we have used the fact that the L^2-projection gives an isomorphism

$$V^0_\lambda(s) \overset{\cong}{\to} V^0_\lambda(s')$$

since there is no spectral flow for the family $\{\tilde{D}_{s''}\}_{s'' \in [s,s']}$.

Lemma 20. *The morphism $f_{ss'}$ is independent of the choices of λ and μ up to canonical homotopy.*

Proof. Take $\lambda' < \lambda \ll 0 \ll \mu < \mu'$ and suppose that $\lambda, \mu, \lambda', \mu'$ are not an eigenvalue of $D_{A_{s''}}$ for all $s'' \in [s, s']$. It follows from the construction of $\hat{f}_{ss'}$ that the following diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_{n+1}; A_s, g, \mathbf{P}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}'_{ss'}} & I^{\mu'}_{\lambda'}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{V^\lambda_{\lambda'}(A_s)} I^\mu_\lambda(W^i_{n+1}; A_s, g, \mathbf{P}) & \xrightarrow[(p^\lambda_{\lambda', s'})^+ \wedge \hat{f}_{ss'}]{} & \Sigma^{V^\lambda_{\lambda'}(A_{s'})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}) \end{array}$$

Here the columns are the homotopy equivalences obtained in the proof of Lemma 17, and $p^{\mu'}_{\lambda',s'}$ is an isomorphism from $V^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}(A_s)$ to $V^{\lambda}_{\lambda'}(A_{s'})$ induced by the L^2-projection. □

Suppose that we have $-\lambda, \mu \gg 0$ such that λ, μ are not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_{s''}$ for $s'' \in [s, s']$. Fix $s'' \in [s, s']$. Then we have two isomorphisms

$$f_{ss''} : J(W^i_{n+1}; A_s, g, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s''}, g, \mathbf{P}),$$
$$f_{s''s'} : J(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}).$$

Composing $f_{ss''}$ and $f_{s''s'}$, we get an isomorphism

$$f_{s''s'} \circ f_{ss''} : J(W^i_n; A_s, g, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^i_n; A_{s'}, g, \mathbf{P}).$$

Lemma 21. *In the above situation, $f_{ss'}$ is canonically homotopic to $f_{s''s'} \circ f_{ss''}$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that the following diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_{n+1}; A_s) & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_{ss'}} & I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s'}) \\ & {\scriptstyle \hat{f}_{ss''}} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \hat{f}_{s''s'}} & \\ & I^{\mu}_{\lambda}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s''}) & \end{array}$$

□

Let $\Delta = \{s_0 = -1 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_\ell = 0\}$ be a partition of the interval $[-1, 0]$ with $|s_j - s_{j+1}| \ll 1$ so that we have $-\lambda_j, \mu_j \gg 0$ which are not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_s$ for $s \in [s_j, s_{j+1}]$. Suppose that $\lambda_j \geq \lambda_{j+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma^{2V^{\lambda_j}_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_j)} \Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_j}(s_j)} I^{\mu_j}_{\lambda_j}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_j}) \xrightarrow{2p^{\lambda_j}_{\lambda_{j+1},s_{j+1}} \wedge p^0_{\lambda_j,s_{j+1}} \wedge \hat{f}_{s_j s_{j+1}}} \\ &\Sigma^{2V^{\lambda_j}_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_{j+1})} \Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_j}(s_{j+1})} I^{\mu_j}_{\lambda_j}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_{j+1}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \\ &\Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_{j+1})} \Sigma^{V^{\lambda_j}_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_{j+1})} I^{\mu_j}_{\lambda_j}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_{j+1}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \\ &\Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_{j+1})} I^{\mu_{j+1}}_{\lambda_{j+1}}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_{j+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$, then we have

$$\Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_j}(s_j)} I^{\mu_j}_{\lambda_j}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_j}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^{2V^{\lambda_{j+1}}_{\lambda_j}(s_j)} \Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_{j+1})} I^{\mu_{j+1}}_{\lambda_{j+1}}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{s_{j+1}}).$$

Therefore we have a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma^{2V_+} \Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_0}(-1)} I^{\mu_0}_{\lambda_0}(W^i_{n+1}; A_{-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma^{2V_-} \Sigma^{V^0_{\lambda_\ell}(0)} I^{\mu_\ell}_{\lambda_\ell}(W^i_{n+1}; A_0),$$

where

$$V_+ = \bigoplus_{j\in J_+} V_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_j}(s_j),\ V_- = \bigoplus_{j\in J_-} V_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j+1}}(s_j),$$
$$J_+ = \{\ j \mid 0 \le j \le \ell, \lambda_j \ge \lambda_{j+1}\ \},\ J_- = \{\ j \mid 0 \le j \le \ell, \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}\ \}.$$

We may suppose that

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_\ell, \quad \mu_0 = \mu_\ell.$$

We write λ, μ for λ_0, μ_0. Then we can see that $\dim(V_+)_{\mathbb{R}} = \dim(V_-)_{\mathbb{R}}, \dim(V_+)_{\mathbb{C}} = \dim(V_-)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Fix trivializations $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$ of $V_\pm$:

$$\mathfrak{t}_+ : V_+ \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d'}, \quad \mathfrak{t}_- : V_- \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d'}.$$

We get a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V_\lambda^0(-1)} I_\lambda^\mu(W_{n+1}^i A_{-1}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V_\lambda^0(0)} I_\lambda^\mu(W_{n+1}^i; A_0). \tag{35}$$

Taking a desuspension of this map we get an isomorphism

$$J(W_{n+1}^i; A_{-1}, g, \mathbf{P}) \overset{\cong}{\to} J(W_{n+1}^i; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$. Composing this with $h_1 : J(W_n^i; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W_{n+1}^i; A_{-1}, g, \mathbf{P})$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{f} : J(W_n^i; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \overset{\cong}{\to} J(W_{n+1}^i; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}).$$

3.7. **Definition of** $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P})$**: The case** $b_1(Y) = 1$**.** Fix $T > T_0$, $\Delta = \{s_0 = -1 < s_1 < \cdots < s_\ell = 0\}$, $-\lambda_j, \mu_j \gg 0$, and trivializations $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$ to get $\mathfrak{f}$. As in Section 2.2, we have a morphism defined by using the flow:

$$J(W_n^i) \to \Sigma J(W_{n-1}^{i+1} \cup W_n^{i+1}) = \Sigma(J(W_{n-1}^{i+1}) \vee J(W_n^{i+1})).$$

Composing this morphism with

$$J(W_{n-1}^{i+1}) \vee J(W_n^{i+1}) \overset{\mathfrak{f}\vee\mathrm{id}}{\to} J(W_n^{i+1})$$

we get a morphism

$$k = k_n^i : J(W_n^i) \to \Sigma J(W_n^{i+1}).$$

Note that $k = k_1 + k_2$ in $\mathfrak{C}$, where

$$k_1 : J(W_n^i) \to \Sigma J(W_{n-1}^{i+1}) \overset{\mathfrak{f}}{\to} \Sigma J(W_n^{i+1}),$$
$$k_2 : J(W_n^i) \to \Sigma J(W_n^{i+1}).$$

First we define $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P})$ in the case where $N = 2$, where N is the number of V_i's which intersect with U_n as in Section 3.4. As we have explained, we have the morphism

$$k : J(W_n^1) \to \Sigma(J(W_{n-1}^2) \vee J(W_n^2)) \to \Sigma J(W_n^2).$$

We define

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}) = \Sigma^{-1} C(k) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).$$

More precisely we define $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$ using a continuous map $\hat{k}$ which represents k as follows. Fix $T > T_0$, $\Delta, \lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_\ell, \mu_0, \ldots, \mu_\ell$ with $\lambda_0 = \lambda_\ell, \mu_0 = \mu_\ell$ and $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$, then we get a continuous map

$$\hat{k} : \Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d'})} \Sigma^{V_\lambda^0} I_\lambda^\mu(W_n^1) \to \Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d'})} \Sigma^{V_\lambda^0} \Sigma I_\lambda^\mu(W_n^2)$$

which represents the morphism k.

Definition 22. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P}) &= \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};A_0,n,\Delta,\{\lambda_j,\mu_j\}_j,\mathfrak{t}_\pm,f_1,f_2)\\ &:= (C(\hat{k}), 2d + 2\dim(V_\lambda^0)_{\mathbb{R}} + 1, 2d' + 2\dim(V_\lambda^0)_{\mathbb{C}})\\ &\in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case $N = 3$. As before we have the morphism

$$k^1 : J(W_n^1) \to \Sigma J(W_n^2).$$

We will define a morphism

$$K : \Sigma^{-1} C(k^1) \to \Sigma J(W_n^3)$$

as follows. Take $y \in J(W_n^1)$. We can write $k^1(y) = (1 - s(y), y') \in \Sigma J(W_n^3)$ with some $y' \in J(W_n^3)$. We can also write $(\Sigma k^2)(1 - s(y), y') = (1 - s(y), 1 - s'(y'), y'')$ with some $y'' \in J(W_n^3)$, where

$$k^2 : J(W_n^2) \to \Sigma(J(W_{n-1}^3) \vee J(W_n^3)) \to \Sigma J(W_n^3).$$

We define a morphism by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} C(J(W_n^1)) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^2 J(W_n^3) \\ (t,y) & \longmapsto & (1-(1-t)s(y), 1-s'(y'), y''). \end{array}$$

We can see that this is well defined. When $t = 0$, this morphism coincides with $\Sigma k^2 \circ k^1$. Hence the above morphism and k^2 induce a morphism

$$C(k^1) \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 J(W_n^3).$$

Taking desuspension, we obtain

$$K : \Sigma^{-1} C(k^1) \to \Sigma J(W_n^3).$$

Definition 23. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P}) =& \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};A_0,n,\Delta,\{\lambda_j,\mu_j\}_j,\mathfrak{t}_\pm,f_1,f_2)\\ :=& \Sigma^{-1} C(K) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).\end{aligned}$$

More precisely, we use a continuous map which represents K to define $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$ as in the previous case. For any $N \geq 4$, we can define $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$ in a similar way. For $H \subset H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ with $H \neq \{0\}$, we also define a variant $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})$ as follows:

Definition 24. Let $H \subset H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ be a subspace with $H \neq \{0\}$. We can take mh_1 as a generator of H for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})$ the object of $\mathfrak{C}$ obtained by replacing h_1 with mh_1 in the construction of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$.

We will prove the following in Section 3.10:

Proposition 25. *The object* $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, H, g, \mathbf{P}; A_0, n, \Delta, \{\lambda_j, \mu_j\}_j, \mathfrak{t}_\pm, f_1, f_2)$ *of* $\mathfrak{C}$ *is independent of the choices of* A_0*,* n*,* Δ*,* $\{\lambda_j, \mu_j\}_j$*,* $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$ *and* (f_1, f_2) *up to canonical isomorphism in* $\mathfrak{C}$*.*

3.8. **Commutativity of $\mathfrak{f}_i$ and $\mathfrak{f}_j$.** We have defined the Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type for a 3-manifolds with $b_1(Y) = 1$. Next we will extend the definition to the case $b_1(Y) \geq 2$. Fix a Riemannian metric g and a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion. Suppose that $q_Y = 0$. Then we can take a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ of the family $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{c}$ of Dirac operators on Y parametrized $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ as before.

Let $\{h_1, \dots, h_b\}$ be a set of generators of $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, where $b = b_1(Y)$. Take a transverse double system (f_1^j, f_2^j) with respect to h_j for each j. As in the previous case, we can define an object $J(W^{i_1,\dots,i_b}_{n_1,\dots,n_b}) = J(W^{i_1,\dots,i_b}_{n_1,\dots,n_b}; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$ of $\mathfrak{C}$. Here A_0 is a fixed flat connection on $\det \mathfrak{c}$. We can also define an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{f}_j : J(W^{i_1,\dots,i_b}_{n_1,\dots,n_j,\dots,n_b}; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^{i_1,\dots,i_b}_{n_1,\dots,n_j+1,\dots,n_b}; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$$

as in Section 3.6. Before we begin the construction of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P})$, we discuss commutativity of $\mathfrak{f}_i$ and $\mathfrak{f}_j$. To simplify notation, we suppose $b_1(Y) = 2$ and consider $\mathfrak{f}_1$ and $\mathfrak{f}_2$. The morphism $\mathfrak{f}_1$ is represented by a continuous map $\hat{f}_1 \circ h_1$, and similarly $\mathfrak{f}_2$ is represented by $\hat{f}_2 \circ h_2$. Here $\hat{f}_j$ is a continuous map constructed as in Section 3.6. We will construct a homotopy from $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-}(\hat{f}_2 \circ h_2 \circ \hat{f}_1 \circ h_1)$ to $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-}(\hat{f}_1 \circ h_1 \circ \hat{f}_2 \circ h_2)$, where $\tilde{V}_-, \tilde{V}'_-$ are suitable finite dimensional vector spaces which are sums of real and complex vector spaces. In particular $\mathfrak{f}_2 \circ \mathfrak{f}_1$ is equal to $\mathfrak{f}_1 \circ \mathfrak{f}_2$ in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Let h_1, h_2 be generators of $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. For $s_1, s_2 \in [-1, 0]$, put

$$A_{s_1,s_2} = A_0 + 2\sqrt{-1}s_1 h_1 + 2\sqrt{-1}s_2 h_2.$$

Take $-1 = s_1(0) < s_1(1) < \cdots < s_1(\ell_1) = 0$, $-1 = s_2(0) < s_2(1) < \cdots < s_2(\ell_2) = 0$ with $|s_1(i) - s_1(i+1)|, |s_2(j) - s_2(j+1)| \ll 1$ such that there are $-\lambda(i,j), \mu(i,j) \gg 0$ which are not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_{s_1,s_2}$ for $(s_1, s_2) \in [s_1(i), s_1(i+1)] \times [s_2(j), s_2(j+1)]$. Here $\tilde{D}_{s_1,s_2} = D_{A_{s_1,s_2}} + B^{\mathbf{P}}_{s_1,s_2}$. We may suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda(0,j) = \lambda(\ell_1, j),\ \lambda(i, \ell_2) = \lambda(i, \ell_2), \\ &\mu(0,j) = \mu(\ell_1, j),\ \mu(i, \ell_2) = \mu(i, \ell_2) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for each i, j. We write λ, μ for $\lambda(0,0), \mu(0,0)$ respectively.

By definition, $\mathfrak{f}_1$ is the composition of $h_1 : J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}; A_{0,0}, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}; A_{-1,0}, \mathbf{P})$ and $f_1 : J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}; A_{-1,0}, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}; A_{0,0}, \mathbf{P})$, and f_1 is represented by a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_1 :&\Sigma^{2V_{1,+}} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,0}, g, \mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}; A_{-1,0}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{1,-}} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0}, g, \mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}; A_{0,0}). \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption (36), we can see that $\dim(V_{1,+})_{\mathbb{R}} = \dim(V_{1,-})_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\dim(V_{1,+})_{\mathbb{C}} = \dim(V_{1,-})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{f}_2$ is the composition of $h_2 : J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}; A_{0,0}, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2+1}; A_{0,-1}, \mathbf{P})$ and $f_2 : J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2+1}; A_{0,-1}, \mathbf{P}) \to J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}, \mathbf{P})$, and $\hat{f}_2$ is represented by a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_2 :&\Sigma^{2V_{2,+}}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2+1}; A_{0,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{2,-}}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}).\end{aligned}$$

As before, we have $\dim(V_{2,+})_{\mathbb{R}} = \dim(V_{2,-})_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\dim(V_{2,+})_{\mathbb{C}} = \dim(V_{2,-})_{\mathbb{C}}$. The morphism $\mathfrak{f}_2 \circ \mathfrak{f}_1$ is represented by the following continuous map

$$\hat{f}_2 \circ h_2 \circ \hat{f}_1 \circ h_1 = \hat{f}_2 \circ \hat{f}_1' \circ h_2 \circ h_1.$$

Here

$$\hat{f}_1' = h_2 \circ \hat{f}_1 \circ h_2^{-1}$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{f}_1 \circ \mathfrak{f}_2$ is represented by a continuous map

$$\hat{f}_1 \circ h_1 \circ \hat{f}_2 \circ h_2 = \hat{f}_1 \circ \hat{f}_2' \circ h_1 \circ h_2.$$

Here

$$\hat{f}_2' = h_1 \circ \hat{f}_2 \circ h_1^{-1}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{f}_2 \circ \hat{f}_1' : \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{2,+}\oplus 2(h_2V_{1,+})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{2,+}\oplus 2(h_2V_{1,-})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{2,-}\oplus 2(h_2V_{1,-})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0})\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{f}_1 \circ \hat{f}_2' : \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{1,+}\oplus 2(h_1V_{2,+})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{1,+}\oplus 2(h_1V_{2,-})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,0}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2V_{1,-}\oplus 2(h_1V_{2,-})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

For each (i,j), put

$$V_{1,+}(i,j) = \begin{cases} V^{\lambda(i,j)}_{\lambda(i+1,j)}(A_{s_1(i),s_2(j)}) & \text{if } \lambda(i,j) > \lambda(i+1,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$V_{1,-}(i,j) = \begin{cases} V^{\lambda(i+1,j)}_{\lambda(i,j)}(A_{s_1(i),s_2(j)}) & \text{if } \lambda(i,j) < \lambda(i+1,j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$V_{2,+}(i,j) = \begin{cases} V^{\lambda(i,j)}_{\lambda(i,j+1)}(A_{s_1(i),s_2(j)}) & \text{if } \lambda(i,j) > \lambda(i,j+1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$V_{2,-}(i,j) = \begin{cases} V^{\lambda(i,j+1)}_{\lambda(i,j)}(A_{s_1(i),s_2(j)}) & \text{if } \lambda(i,j) < \lambda(i,j+1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We introduce the following sets of (i,j):

$$\tilde{J} = \{\ (i,j) \mid 0 \leq i < \ell_1,\ 0 < j \leq \ell_2,\ \},$$
$$\tilde{J'} = \{\ (i,j) \mid 0 < i \leq \ell_1,\ 0 \leq j < \ell_2,\ \},$$
$$\tilde{\tilde{J}} = \{\ (i,j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \ell_1,\ 0 \leq j \leq \ell_2,\ \}.$$

Lastly, we define finite dimensional vector spaces:

$$\tilde{V}_- = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\tilde{J}} V_{1,-}(i,j) \oplus V_{2,-}(i,j),$$
$$\tilde{V}'_- = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\tilde{J'}} V_{1,-}(i,j) \oplus V_{2,-}(i,j),$$
$$\tilde{\tilde{V}}_- = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\tilde{\tilde{J}}} V_{1,-}(i,j) \oplus V_{2,-}(i,j).$$

Taking the suspension of (37) by $2\tilde{V}_-$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-}\hat{f}_2 \circ \hat{f}'_1 : \\ &\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_- \oplus 2V_{2,+} \oplus 2(h_2 V_{1,+})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_- \oplus 2V_{2,-} \oplus 2(h_2 V_{1,-})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}) = \\ &\Sigma^{2\tilde{\tilde{V}}_-}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$2\tilde{V}_- \oplus 2V_{2,-} \oplus 2(h_2 V_{1,-}) = 2\tilde{\tilde{V}}_-.$$

Similarly taking the suspension of (38) by $\tilde{V}'_-$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-}\hat{f}_1 \circ \hat{f}'_2 : \\ &\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_- \oplus 2V_{1,+} \oplus 2(h_1 V_{2,+})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,-1},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,-1}) \to \\ &\Sigma^{2\tilde{\tilde{V}}_-}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},g,\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0}). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We will see that we can continuously deform (39) to (40). Let γ_0, γ_1 be paths in $\mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)$ from $-h_1 - h_2$ to 0 defined by

$$\gamma_0(t) = \begin{cases} (2t-1)h_1 - h_2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ (2t-2)h_2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1, \end{cases}$$
$$\gamma_1(t) = \begin{cases} -h_1 + (2t-1)h_2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ (2t-2)h_1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Gamma : [0,1]^2 \to \mathcal{H}^1_g(Y)$ be a homotopy from γ_0 to γ_1 defined by

$$\Gamma(u,t) = (1-u)\gamma_0(t) + t_1\gamma_1(t).$$

There is $u_1 \in (0,1)$ such that for $u \in (0,u_1)$ the curve $\Gamma(u,\cdot)$ is not through $s_1(i)h_1 + s_2(1)h_2$ for $i \in \{1,\cdots,\ell_1 - 1\}$ or $s_1(\ell_1-1)h_1 + s_2(j)h_2$ for $j \in \{1,\cdots,\ell_2-1\}$, and the curve $\Gamma(u_1,\cdot)$ is through the point $s_1(\ell_1-1)h_1 + s_2(1)h_2$. For each $u \in [0,u_1)$, we can define a continuous map

$$\hat{h}_u : \Sigma^{2(\tilde{V}_-(u)\oplus V_+(u))}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{-1,-1},\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{-1,-1}) \to$$
$$\Sigma^{2\tilde{\tilde{V}}_-}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_{0,0},\mathbf{P})} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2+1}; A_{0,0})$$

as before. This is continuous in u since $\lambda(i,j)$ and 0 are not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{D}_{s_1,s_2}$ for $(s_1,s_2) \in [s_1(i), s_1(i+1)] \times [s_2(j), s_2(j+1)]$. Using the fact that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$V_{1,+}(\ell_1-1,0) \oplus V_{2,+}(\ell_1,1) \oplus V_{1,-}(\ell_1-1,1) \oplus V_{2,-}(\ell_1-1,1) \cong$$
$$V_{2,+}(\ell_1-1,1) \oplus V_{1,+}(\ell_1-1,1) \oplus V_{1,-}(\ell_1-1,0) \oplus V_{2,-}(\ell_1,1),$$

we can continuously extend $\hat{h}_u$ to $u \in [u_1,u_2)$, where u_2 is the next value such that $\Gamma(u_2,\cdot)$ is through $s_1(i)h_1 + s_2(j)h_2$ for some $i \in 1,\ldots,\ell_1-1$, $j \in \{1,\ldots,\ell_2-1\}$. Repeating this discussion, we can define $\hat{h}_{t_1}$ for $t \in [0,1]$. The family $\{\tilde{V}_-(u) \oplus V_+(u)\}_{u\in[0,1]}$ defines a vector bundle on $[0,1]$. Fix trivializations $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ of this bundle and $\tilde{\tilde{V}}_-$. Then we get a homotopy from $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-}(\hat{f}_2 \circ h_2 \circ \hat{f}_1 \circ h_1)$ to $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-}(\hat{f}_1 \circ h_1 \circ \hat{f}_2 \circ h_2)$.

3.9. **Definition of** $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$**: The case** $b_1(Y) \ge 2$**.** In this subsection, we will give the definition of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$ in the case where $b_1(Y) \ge 2$ and $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ is torsion, following [10, Section 5]. For simplicity, suppose that $b_1(Y) = 2$. In this case, $q_Y = 0$ and we can find a spectral section $\mathbf{P}$ for $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{c}$. Take a set $\{h_1,h_2\}$ of generators of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ and transverse double systems (f_1,f_2) and (f'_1,f'_2), where (f_1,f_2) has the properties in (15) with respect to the action of h_1 and (f'_1,f'_2) has the properties in (15) with respect to the action of h_2. Suppose that $N = N' = 2$ for simplicity, where N, N' are the numbers of V_i, V'_i which intersect with U_n, U'_n as in Section 3.4. As before we have an object $J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}) = J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}; A_0, g, \mathbf{P})$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ for $i_1,i_2 \in \{1,2\}, n_1,n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also have the morphisms defined by using the

flow and $\mathfrak{f}_j$:

$$k^{i_2} : J(W^{1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}) \to \Sigma\big(J(W^{2,i_2}_{n_1-1,n_2}) \vee J(W^{2,i_2}_{n_1,n_2})\big) \overset{\mathfrak{f}_1 \vee \mathrm{id}}{\to} \Sigma J(W^{2,i_2}_{n_1,n_2}),$$
$$l^{i_1} : J(W^{i_1,1}_{n_1,n_2}) \to \Sigma\big(J(W^{i_1,2}_{n_1,n_2-1}) \vee J(W^{i_1,2}_{n_1,n_2})\big) \overset{\mathfrak{f}_2 \vee \mathrm{id}}{\to} \Sigma J(W^{i_1,2}_{n_1,n_2}).$$

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(W^{1,1}_{n_1,n_2}) & \xrightarrow{k^1} & \Sigma J(W^{2,1}_{n_1,n_2}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma l^2} \\ \Sigma J(W^{1,2}_{n_1,n_2}) & \xrightarrow[\Sigma k^2]{} & \Sigma^2 J(W^{2,2}_{n_1,n_2}) \end{array}$$

We can see that the above diagram is commutative up to homotopy. Hence we have a morphism

$$L : \Sigma^{-1} C(k^1) \to C(\Sigma k^2).$$

We define

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}) = \Sigma^{-1} C(L) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).$$

More precisely the definition is as follows. Let $\hat{k}^1, \hat{k}^2, \hat{l}^1, \hat{l}^2$ be the continuous maps which represent k^1, k^2, l^1, l^2 respectively, induced by choices of T, Δ, λ_j, μ_j. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{2(V_+ \oplus V'_+)} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} I^\mu_\lambda(W^{1,1}_{n_1,n_2}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{k}^1} & \Sigma^{2(V_- \oplus V'_+)} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} \Sigma I^\mu_\lambda(W^{2,1}_{n_1,n_2}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{l}^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma \hat{l}^2} \\ \Sigma^{2(V_+ \oplus V'_-)} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} \Sigma I^\mu_\lambda(W^{1,2}_{n_1,n_2}) & \xrightarrow[\Sigma \hat{k}^2]{} & \Sigma^{2(V_- \oplus V'_-)} \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} \Sigma^2 I^\mu_\lambda(W^{2,2}_{n_1,n_2}) \end{array} \tag{41}$$

As in Section 3.8, if we choose trivialization $\tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ of a vector space and a vector bundle on $[0,1]$, we get a homotopy from $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-}(\Sigma \hat{l}^2 \circ \hat{k}^1)$ to $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-}(\Sigma \hat{k}^2 \circ \hat{l}^1)$. Here $\tilde{V}_-, \tilde{V}'_-$ are suitable vector spaces. Hence we have an induced continuous map

$$\hat{L} : C(\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-} \hat{k}^1) \to C(\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-} \Sigma \hat{k}^2).$$

Definition 26. We define

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}) =$$
$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A_0, \mathbf{n}, \Delta, \{\lambda(i,j), \mu(i,j)\}, \mathfrak{t}_\pm, \mathfrak{t}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}, F) =$$
$$(C(\hat{L}), 2\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{V}_- \oplus V_+ \oplus V'_+ \oplus V^0_\lambda)_{\mathbb{R}} + 2, 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}_- \oplus V_+ \oplus V'_+ \oplus V^0_\lambda)_{\mathbb{C}})$$
$$\in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Here $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)$, $F = ((f_1, f_2), (f'_1, f'_2))$.

There is another way to define $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P})$. The commutativity of the diagram (41) gives a continuous map

$$\hat{K} : C(\Sigma^{\tilde{V}''_-} \hat{l}_1) \to C(\Sigma^{\tilde{V}'''_-} \Sigma \hat{l}_2).$$

We define

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P}) =$$
$$(C(\hat{K}), 2\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{V}''_- \oplus V_+ \oplus V'_+)_{\mathbb{R}} + 2, 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}''_- \oplus V_+ \oplus V'_+)_{\mathbb{C}}) \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

We can easily prove that this object is canonically isomorphic to the original one.

We assumed that $b_1(Y) = 2$ and $N = N' = 2$. However we can easily generalize this definition to any case, provided that q_Y is trivial. In the case $b_1(Y) \geq 2$ we need trivializations $\mathfrak{t}$ of vector bundles on cubes $[0,1] \times \cdots \times [0,1]$. As in the case $b_1(Y) = 1$, for each submodule H of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$, we can define a variant $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})$.

Definition 27. Suppose that $q_Y = 0$. Let H be a submodule of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$ and take a set $\{h'_1,\dots,h'_b\}$ of generators of H. We denote by $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})$ the object of $\mathfrak{C}$ obtained by replacing $h_1,\dots,h_b$ with $h'_1,\dots,h'_b$ in the construction of $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P})$.

Proposition 28. *The object* $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})$ *is independent of the choices of* A_0, $\mathbf{n}$, Δ, $\lambda(i,j)$, $\mu(i,j)$, $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ *and* F *up to canonical isomorphism in* $\mathfrak{C}$.

3.10. **Proof of Proposition 25 and Proposition 28.**

3.10.1. *Independence from* Δ, $\{\lambda_j,\mu_j\}_j$, $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$. To simplify notation, we suppose that $b_1(Y) = 1$, $N = 2$, $H = H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. The proof for the general case is similar.

Fix $\Delta, \lambda_j, \mu_j, \mathfrak{t}_\pm$. Take another trivializations $\mathfrak{t}'_\pm$ of $V_\pm$. (Since $b_1(Y) = 1$, we do not need to take trivializations $\mathfrak{t}$ of vector bundles on $[0,1]\times\cdots\times[0,1]$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ of the vector space $\tilde{V}$. The proof of independence from $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ is similar to that of independence from $\mathfrak{t}_\pm$.) We get another continuous map $\hat{k}'$ which represents the morphism k. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} I^\mu_\lambda(W^1_n) & \xrightarrow{\hat{k}} & \Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda}\Sigma I^\mu_\lambda(W^2_n) \\
{\scriptstyle (2\mathfrak{t}'_+)\circ(2\mathfrak{t}_+^{-1})}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (2\mathfrak{t}'_-)\circ(2\mathfrak{t}_-^{-1})} \\
\Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda} I^\mu_\lambda(W^1_n) & \xrightarrow[\hat{k}']{} & \Sigma^{2(\mathbb{R}^d\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d'})}\Sigma^{V^0_\lambda}\Sigma I^\mu_\lambda(W^2_n)
\end{array}$$

This diagram is strictly commutative. Hence we get a homeomorphism

$$C(\hat{k}) \overset{\cong}{\to} C(\hat{k}').$$

Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};\mathfrak{t}_\pm) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};\mathfrak{t}'_\pm)$$

as required.

Remark 29. Since $\pi_0(O(d)) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \pi_0(U(d')) = 0$, we can take a homotopy from $2\mathfrak{t}_\pm$ to $2\mathfrak{t}'_\pm$. Using this homotopy, we get an isomorphism from $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathfrak{t}_\pm)$ to $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathfrak{t}'_\pm)$. However this isomorphism is not canonical, since $\pi_1(U(d')) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the homotopy from $2\mathfrak{t}_\pm$ to $2\mathfrak{t}'_\pm$ is not unique up to homotopy.

Take another $\Delta', \lambda'_j, \mu'_j, \mathfrak{t}'_\pm$. We get another continuous map $\hat{k}'$. It is sufficient to consider the case $\Delta \subset \Delta'$. By Lemma 20, we may suppose that $V'_\pm = V_\pm \oplus V''_\pm$ for some vector space $V''_\pm$ coming from $\Delta' \backslash \Delta$. Since we have proved the independence from the trivializations, we may suppose that $\mathfrak{t}'_\pm = \mathfrak{t}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{t}''_\pm$ for some trivialization $\mathfrak{t}''_\pm$ of $V''_\pm$. Hence we have a canonical isomorphism

$$C(\hat{k}') = \Sigma^{2V''_+ - 2V''_-} C(\hat{k}) \cong C(\hat{k}) \tag{42}$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$. Here we have used the trivializations

$$V''_+ \overset{\mathfrak{t}''_+}{\to} \mathbb{R}^{d''_\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{d''_\mathbb{C}} \overset{\mathfrak{t}''_-}{\leftarrow} V''_-.$$

The isomorphism (42) is independent of $\mathfrak{t}''_\pm$ since $\pi_0(O(d''_\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \pi_0(U(d''_\mathbb{C})) = 0$.

We can see the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathfrak{d}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\cong\quad} & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathfrak{d}'') \\ & \searrow^{\cong} \quad \nearrow_{\cong} & \\ & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathfrak{d}') & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathfrak{d} = (\Delta, \lambda_j, \mu_j, \mathfrak{t}_\pm)$, $\mathfrak{d}' = (\Delta', \lambda'_j, \mu'_j, \mathfrak{t}'_\pm)$, $\mathfrak{d} = (\Delta', \lambda'_j, \mu'_j, \mathfrak{t}'_\pm)$.

If $b_2(Y) \geq 2$, we need to take a trivialization $\mathfrak{t}$ of a vector bundle on a cube and a trivialization $\tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}$ of a vector space $\tilde{\tilde{V}}$. The proof of the independence from $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}$ is similar.

3.10.2. *Independence from A_0.* Let A'_0 be another flat connection. As in Section 3.6, we can prove that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi : J(W^i_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \overset{\cong}{\to} J(W^i_n; A'_0, g, \mathbf{P}).$$

We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(W^i_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\cong} & J(W^i_n; A'_0, \mathbf{P}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k'} \\ \Sigma J(W^{i+1}_n; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\cong} & \Sigma J(W^{i+1}_n; A'_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \end{array}$$

This diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy. More precisely, as in Section 3.8, we can prove that after taking a trivialization $\mathfrak{t}$ of a vector bundle W on $[0, 1]$ we can define a homotopy H between $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-}(\hat{k}' \circ \hat{\varphi})$ and $\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'}(\hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{k})$, using the trivialization $2\mathfrak{t}$ of $2W = W \oplus W$. Here $\tilde{V}_-$ and

$\tilde{V}'_-$ are suitable finite dimensional vector spaces, and $\hat{k}, \hat{k}', \hat{\varphi}$ are continuous maps which represent k, k', φ induced by choices of a partition Δ of $[-1, 0]$ and positive large numbers $T, -\lambda_j, \mu_j$. The homotopy H and φ induce an isomorphism

$$\Sigma^{2\tilde{V}_-} C(\hat{k}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \Sigma^{2\tilde{V}'_-} C(\hat{k}').$$

Fix trivializations $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_-$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}'_-$ of $\tilde{V}_-$ and $\tilde{V}'_-$. Then, taking desuspensions, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A_0) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A'_0).$$

We can prove that this isomorphism is independent of $\mathfrak{t}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_-, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}'_-$ as in the previous subsection. We can also prove that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad\cong\quad} & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A''_0) \\ & {\scriptstyle\cong}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle\cong} & \\ & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; A'_0) & \end{array}$$

3.10.3. *Independence from F.* We will prove the independence from the choice of transverse double systems. First we suppose that $b_1(Y) = 1$. Take two transverse double systems (f_1, f_2) and $(\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)$. We want to show that $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; f_1, f_2)$ and $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)$ are canonically isomorphic in $\mathfrak{C}$. Write $\widetilde{W}^i_n$ for the subset W^i_n of $Str(R)$ associated with $(\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)$. It is sufficient to consider the case where $f_2 = \tilde{f}_2$. For simplicity, suppose that $N = 2, \tilde{N} = 3$, where $N, \tilde{N}$ are the numbers of $V_i, \tilde{V}_i$ which intersect $U_n, \tilde{U}_n$ respectively, as in Section 3.4. By renumbering if necessary, we have $W^1_n \cup W^2_n = \widetilde{W}^1_n \cup \widetilde{W}^2_n \cup \widetilde{W}^3_n$. First we suppose that $\widetilde{W}^3_n \subset W^2_n$. Then we can write

$$W^1_n = \widetilde{W}^1_n \cup Z^1_n,\ W^2_n = \widetilde{W}^3_n \cup Z^2_n,\ \widetilde{W}^2_n = Z^1_n \cup Z^2_n.$$

We have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma J(W^1_n) &\cong C\big(l_1 : J(\widetilde{W}^1_n) \to \Sigma J(Z^1_n)\big), \\ \Sigma J(W^2_n) &\cong C\big(l_2 : J(Z^2_n) \to \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}^3_n)\big), \\ \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}^2_n) &\cong C\big(l_3 : J(Z^1_n) \to \Sigma J(W^2_n)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By definition, we have

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; f_1, f_2) = \Sigma^{-1} C(k)$$

where

$$k : J(W^1_n) \to \Sigma(J(W^2_{n-1}) \vee J(W^2_n)) \to \Sigma J(W^2_n).$$

On the other hand

$$\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2) = \Sigma^{-1} C(\tilde{K})$$

where $\tilde{K}$ is the morphism $\Sigma^{-1}C(\tilde{k}^1) \to \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^i)$. We want to show that there is a canonical isomorphism between $C(k)$ and $C(\tilde{K})$. We have the exact triangles

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma^{-1}C(\tilde{k}^1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{K}} \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^3) \longrightarrow C(\tilde{K}), \\ &J(W_n^1) \xrightarrow{k} \Sigma J(W_n^2) \longrightarrow C(k). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

We also have the exact triangle

$$J(Z_n^2) \longrightarrow \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^3) \longrightarrow \Sigma J(W_n^2). \tag{45}$$

Hence we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{-1}C(\tilde{k}^1) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{K}} & \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^3) & \longrightarrow & C(\tilde{K}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ J(W_n^1) & \xrightarrow{k} & \Sigma J(W_n^2) & \longrightarrow & C(k) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \Sigma J(Z_n^2) & & \end{array}$$

Next we show that the following is exact:

$$\Sigma J(Z_n^2) \longrightarrow C(\tilde{k}^1) \longrightarrow \Sigma J(W_n^1). \tag{46}$$

Here the morphism $\Sigma J(Z_n^2) \to C(\tilde{k}^1)$ is defined as follows. We can write

$$C(\tilde{k}^1) = CJ(\widetilde{W}_n^1) \cup_{\tilde{k}^1} \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^2).$$

Moreover there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^2) \cong CJ(Z_n^1) \cup_{l_2} \Sigma J(Z_n^2),$$

The morphism $\Sigma J(Z_n^2) \to C(\tilde{k}^1)$ is given by

$$\Sigma J(Z_n^2) \to CJ(Z_n^1) \cup_{l_2} \Sigma J(Z_n^2) \cong \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^2) \to C(\tilde{k}^1).$$

Collapsing $\Sigma J(Z_n^2)$ into one point, we get

$$C(\tilde{k}^1)/\Sigma J(Z_n^2) \cong \Sigma J(W_n^1)$$

Note that the composition

$$J(\widetilde{W}_n^1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}^1} \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^2) \longrightarrow \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^2)/\Sigma J(Z_n^2) = \Sigma J(Z_n^1)$$

is the usual morphism $J(\widetilde{W}_n^1) \to \Sigma J(Z_n^1)$. Therefore the sequence (46) is exact.

From (44), (45) and (46), we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{-1}C(\tilde{k}^1) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^3) & \longrightarrow & C(\tilde{K}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
J(W_n^1) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma J(W_n^2) & \longrightarrow & C(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma J(Z_n^2) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \Sigma J(Z_n^2) & \longrightarrow & *
\end{array}$$

We can see that this diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy. More precisely, as in the previous subsection, to see the homotopy commutativity of the diagram, we need to fix some trivializations of vector spaces and a vector bundle on $[0,1]$. We omit the details. The homotopy commutativity of this diagram induces the canonical isomorphism

$$C(\tilde{K}) \cong C(k)$$

as required.

Next we consider the case $\widetilde{W}_n^3 \not\subset W_n^2$. In this case we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_n^1 = \widetilde{W}_n^1 \cup Z_n^1 \cup Z_n^2,\ W_n^2 = Z_n^3 \cup Z_n^4, \\
&\widetilde{W}_n^2 = Z_n^1 \cup Z_n^3,\ \widetilde{W}_n^3 = Z_n^2 \cup Z_n^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\widetilde{W}_n^{1\,\prime} = \widetilde{W}_n^1,\ \widetilde{W}_n^{2\,\prime} = Z_n^1 \cup Z_n^2 \cup Z_n^3,\ \widetilde{W}_n^{3\,\prime} = Z_n^4.$$

We can define the morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{k}^{1\,\prime} : J(\widetilde{W}_n^{1\,\prime}) \to \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_{n-1}^{2\,\prime}) \vee \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^{2\,\prime}) \to \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^{2\,\prime}), \\
&\tilde{K}' : \Sigma^{-1} C(\tilde{k}^{1\,\prime}) \to \Sigma J(\widetilde{W}_n^{3\,\prime}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $C(\tilde{K})$ and $C(\tilde{K}')$ are canonically isomorphic to each other. Since $\widetilde{W}_n^{3\,\prime} \subset W_n^2$, we can prove that $C(k)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C(\tilde{K}')$ as before. Therefore $C(k)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C(\tilde{K})$.

Although we assumed that $N = 2, \tilde{N} = 3$, we can generalize our discussion to any case.

Suppose that $b_1(Y) = 2$. Let $\{h_1, h_2\}$ and $\{\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2\}$ be sets of generators of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. Take transverse double systems $F = ((f_1, f_2), (f_1', f_2'))$, $\tilde{F} = ((\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2), (\tilde{f}_1{}', \tilde{f}_2'))$ with respect to $\{h_1, h_2\}$, $\{\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2\}$. We want to show that $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, \mathbf{P}; \tilde{F})$. It is sufficient to consider the case $h_1 = \tilde{h}_1$. As in the case where $b_1(Y) = 1$, we can show that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$C(k^1) \overset{\cong}{\to} C(\tilde{k}^1), \quad C(k^2) \overset{\cong}{\to} C(\tilde{k}^2).$$

Moreover we can see that the following diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(k^1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C(\tilde{k}^1) \\ {\scriptstyle L}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{L}} \\ C(k^2) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & C(\tilde{k}^2) \end{array}$$

Hence we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};F)\cong\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};\tilde{F})$. We can see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};F) & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};\tilde{\tilde{F}}) \\ & \searrow^{\cong} & & \nearrow_{\cong} & \\ & & \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};\tilde{F}) & & \end{array}$$

3.10.4. *Independence from* $\mathbf{n}$. Assume that $b_1(Y)=2$ for simplicity. We will see that $\mathfrak{f}_1$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_1}:\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};n_1,n_2)\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},g,\mathbf{P};n_1+1,n_2).$$

We have the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{f}_1:J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1,n_2})\xrightarrow{\cong}J(W^{i_1,i_2}_{n_1+1,n_2}).$$

This induces a homotopy equivalence

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{f}_1}:C(\hat{k}^1;n_1,n_2)\to C(\hat{k}^1;n_1+1,n_2).$$

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\hat{k}^1;n_1,n_2) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{f}_1}} & C(\hat{k}^1;n_1+1,n_2) \\ {\scriptstyle \hat{L}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{L}} \\ C(\hat{k}^2;n_1,n_2) & \xrightarrow[\hat{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{f}_1}]{} & C(\hat{k}^2;n_1+1,n_2) \end{array}$$

As in Section 3.8, we can construct a homotopy from $\Sigma^{2V_-}(\hat{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{f}_1}\circ\hat{L})$ to $\Sigma^{2V'_-}(\hat{L}\circ\hat{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{f}_1})$ if we choose a trivialization $\mathfrak{t}$ of a vector bundle on $[0,1]$. Here V_-,V'_- are suitable vector spaces. This homotopy induces an homotopy equivalence

$$C(\Sigma^{2V_-}\hat{L};n_1,n_2)\to C(\Sigma^{2V'_-}\hat{L};n_1+1,n_2). \tag{47}$$

On the other hand, fix trivializations

$$V_-\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_-}\mathbb{R}^{d_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{d_2}\xleftarrow{\mathfrak{t}'_-}V'_-$$

Then in the category $\mathfrak{C}$ we get isomorphisms induced by $\mathfrak{t}_-, \mathfrak{t}'_-$:

$$\begin{aligned} &C(\hat{L}; n_1, n_2) \overset{\cong}{\to} (C(\Sigma^{2V_-}\hat{L}, n_1, n_2), d_1, d_2), \\ &C(\hat{L}; n_1+1, n_2) \overset{\cong}{\to} (C(\Sigma^{2V'_-}\hat{L}; n_1+1, n_2), d_1, d_2) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Combining (47) and (48), we get an isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_1} : \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; n_1, n_2) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; n_1+1, n_2).$$

Since $\pi_0(O(N)) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \pi_0(U(N)) = 0$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_1}$ is independent of $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{t}_-, \mathfrak{t}'_-$. The proof for the case $b_1(Y) \geq 3$ is similar.

We can prove the following digram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathbf{n}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_j \circ \mathfrak{f}_i}} & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{n}'') \\ & {\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_i}} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \Phi_{\mathfrak{f}_j}} & \\ & \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}; \mathbf{n}') & \end{array}$$

4. Relative invariant

4.1. **Stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants for closed manifolds.** Before we construct the relative stable cohomotopy version of Seiberg-Witten invariants for 4-manifolds with boundary, we briefly review the construction of the invariant for closed 4-manifolds. See [2] for the detail.

Let X be a closed, oriented 4-manifold and choose a Riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ on X. Take a spin-c structure $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ of X and fix a connection $\hat{A}_0$ of $\det \hat{\mathfrak{c}}$. We denote by $\mathbb{S}^+$, $\mathbb{S}^-$ the spinor bundles on X associated with $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ and by $\hat{\rho} : T^*X \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^+, \mathbb{S}^-)$ the Clifford multiplication. Let $\Omega^1_{\hat{g}}(X)$ be the image of $\hat{d}^* : \Omega^2(X) \to \Omega^1(X)$ and $\Omega^+_{\hat{g}}(X)$ be the space of self-dual 2-forms on X. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(X) &= L^2_{k+1}(\sqrt{-1}\Omega^1_{\hat{g}}(X) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^+)), \\ \mathcal{F}(X) &= L^2_k(\sqrt{-1}\Omega^+_{\hat{g}}(X) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-)). \end{aligned}$$

We define $U(1)$-actions on $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ by multiplications on $\mathbb{S}^+$ and $\mathbb{S}^-$. The Seiberg-Witten map is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} SW : \mathcal{E}(X) &\to \mathcal{F}(X) \\ (\hat{a}, \hat{\phi}) &\mapsto (F^+_{\hat{A}_0+\hat{a}} + q(\hat{\phi}), D_{\hat{A}_0+\hat{a}}\hat{\phi}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $F^+_{\hat{A}_0+\hat{a}}$ is the self-dual part of the curvature $F_{\hat{A}_0+\hat{a}}$ and $q(\hat{\phi})$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^+$ defined by $\hat{\phi} \otimes \hat{\phi}^* - \frac{1}{2}|\hat{\phi}|^2 \,\mathrm{id}$ which is considered to be a self-dual 2-form through an isomorphism $\Lambda^+ T^*X \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ induced by $\hat{\rho}$.

We take a finite dimensional approximation of the map SW as follows. We can write SW as $L + C$, where L is the linear part of SW and defined

by $L(\hat{a},\hat{\phi}) = (d^+\hat{a}, D_{\hat{A}_0}\hat{\phi})$, and $C(\hat{a},\hat{\phi}) = (F^+_{\hat{A}_0} + q(\hat{\phi}), \rho(\hat{a})\hat{\phi})$. Let U be finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} L + U = \mathcal{F}(X)$ and put $U' = L^{-1}(U)$. Then using the compactness of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space, we can show that the map

$$SW_U = \mathrm{pr}_U \circ SW|_{U'} : U' \to U$$

extends a map

$$SW^+_U : (U')^+ \to U^+.$$

Here U^+ and $(U')^+$ are the one point compactification of U and U' respectively. Bauer and Furuta [2] showed that for sufficiently large U, the $U(1)$-equivariant homotopy class of SW^+_U is stable (in a suitable sense.) Hence we get an element $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ of a $U(1)$-equivariant stable cohomotopy group $\pi^{b^+(X)}_{U(1)}(*; \operatorname{Ind} D_{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}})$ and $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ is an invariant of X which is independent of the choices of $\hat{g}$ and U. More precisely, in [2], Bauer and Furuta constructed an element $\Psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ of a stable cohomotopy group $\pi^{b^+(X)}_{U(1)}(\mathrm{Pic}(X); \operatorname{Ind} D_{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}})$ of the Thom space of the index bundle on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ of a family of Dirac operators. $\psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ is the restriction of $\Psi_{X,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ to the fiber of the index bundle.

4.2. **Relative invariant.** We will define the relative invariant following [9, 10, 11, 14]. Let Y be a closed, oriented 3-manifold with $q_Y = 0$. Take a Riemannian metric g, a spin-c structure $\mathfrak{c}$ on Y with $c_1(\mathfrak{c})$ torsion and a spectral section $\mathbf{P} = \{P_h\}_{[h]\in\mathrm{Pic}(Y)}$ for the family $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ of Dirac operators on Y. Let X_1 be a compact, oriented 4-manifold with $\partial X_1 = Y$. Fix a Riemannian metric $\hat{g}_1$ and a spin-c structure $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1$ on X_1 with $\hat{g}_1|_Y = g, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1|_Y = \mathfrak{c}$ and a connection $\hat{A}_1$ on $\det\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1$ with $\hat{A}_1|_Y = A_0$, where A_0 is a fixed flat connection on $\det\mathfrak{c}$. Put

$$\Omega^1_{\hat{g}_1}(X_1) =$$
$$\left\{ \hat{a}_1 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \hat{a}_1 \in \sqrt{-1}\ker(\hat{d}^* : \Omega^1(X_1) \to \Omega^0(X_1)), \\ \hat{d}^*(i^*\hat{a}_1) = 0, \\ \int_{Y_j} \hat{a}_1(\nu) = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, r) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Here i is the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow X_1$, ν is the normal vector field on Y and Y_j is a connected components of $Y = Y_1 \coprod \cdots \coprod Y_r$. The boundary condition in the definition of $\Omega^1_{g_1}(X_1)$ was introduced by Khandhawit in [9] and is called the double Coulomb condition. Let $\mathcal{U}_{X_1}$ be the orthogonal complement in $L^2_{k+1}(\Omega^1_{\hat{g}_1}(X_1))$ of the space $\mathcal{H}^1(X_1)$ of harmonic 1-forms on X_1 satisfying the double Coulomb condition. The Seiberg-Witten map SW^μ of X_1 is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} SW^\mu : \mathcal{U}_{X_1} \oplus L^2_{k+1}(\Gamma(\mathbb{S}^+)) & \to & L^2_k(\Omega^+_{\hat{g}_1}(X_1) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-)) \oplus V^\mu \\ \hat{x}_1 = (\hat{a}_1, \hat{\phi}_1) & \mapsto & (sw(\hat{x}_1), p^\mu(i^*\hat{x}_1)), \end{array}$$

where V^μ is the subspace of V spanned by eigenvectors of $D_{A_0} + B^{\mathbf{P}}_0$ with eigenvalues in $(-\infty, \mu]$ and

$$sw(\hat{x}_1) = (F^+_{\hat{A}_1+\hat{a}_1} + q(\hat{\phi}_1), D_{\hat{A}_1+\hat{a}_1}\hat{\phi}_1).$$

Take small neighborhoods N, N' of Y in X_1 with $N \subset N'$ and a smooth function $\tau : X_1 \to [0,1]$ with $\tau = 1$ on N and $\tau = 0$ on $X_1 \backslash N'$. We write $\hat{L}_{\hat{A}_1,\mathbf{P}}$ for the following operator:

$$\hat{L}_{\hat{A}_1,\mathbf{P}} = \hat{d}^+ \oplus (D_{\hat{A}_1} + B_0^{\mathbf{P}}\tau) \oplus p^\mu i^*.$$

This operator is Fredholm. We can take a finite dimensional subspace

$$U_1 \subset L^2_k(\Omega^+(X_1) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^-))$$

such that $\operatorname{Im} \hat{L}_{\hat{A}_1,\mathbf{P}}$ and $U_1 \oplus V_\lambda^\mu$ are transverse for $\lambda \ll 0$. Write U_1' for the preimage of $U_1 \oplus V_\lambda^\mu$ by $\hat{L}_{\hat{A}_1,\mathbf{P}}$. We get a finite dimensional approximation

$$SW^\mu_{U_1,\lambda} = \mathrm{pr}_{U_1 \oplus V_\lambda^\mu} \circ SW^\mu|_{U_1'} : U_1' \longrightarrow U_1 \oplus V_\lambda^\mu$$

of the Seiberg-Witten map. We will show that this map defines a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{X_1} &= \psi_{X_1,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1,H,g,\mathbf{P}} \\ &\in \{(\Sigma^{-V_\lambda^0}(U_1')^+, 0), U_1^+ \wedge \mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})\}^{U(1)} \\ &= \{(\mathbb{C}^a)^+, \Sigma^{b^+(X)}\,\mathrm{SWF}(Y,\mathfrak{c},H,g,\mathbf{P})\}^{U(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$. Here a is the numerical index of the Dirac operator on X_1 and H is a submodule of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$.

Assume that $b_1(Y) = 1$. (The general case is similar.) Write γ_λ^μ the flow on V_λ^μ induced by CSD. Take positive large numbers $R \gg R_1' \gg 0$ and choose a transverse double system (f_1, f_2) on $Str(R)$. For simplicity, we suppose that $N = 2$. If n is large, for any U_1, we have

$$i^*(B(U_1', R_1')) \subset W^1_{-n} \cup W^2_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^1_n \cup W^2_n.$$

Here $W^i_k \subset Str(R)$ is defined as in Section 3.4. Put $\widetilde{W} := W^1_{-n} \cup W^2_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^1_n \cup W^2_n$.

Lemma 30. *There is an index pair (N, L) for $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu)$ such that*

$$N \subset \widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu, \quad p_\lambda^\mu(i^*(B(U_1', R_1'))) \subset N \backslash L. \tag{49}$$

Proof. Fix a large compact set B in $\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu$, which is diffeomorphic to a closed ball of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_\lambda^\mu$, is an isolating neighborhood of $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu)$ and includes $p_\lambda^\mu(i^*B(U_1', R_1'))$. Let $\chi : \widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{-1}(0) &= B, \\ \chi &= 1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } \partial(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the flows γ_λ^μ and $\chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu$ have the same directions outside B. Hence $\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu$ is an isolating neighborhood of $\mathrm{Ind}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu; \chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu)$ with respect to

$\chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu$. Let (N, L) be an index pair of $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda; \chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu)$ with respect to the flow $\chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu$. Then

$$N \subset \widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu,\ p_\lambda^\mu i^*(B(U_1', R_1')) \subset B \subset N \backslash L.$$

The pair (N, L) is also an index pair for $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu; \gamma_\lambda^\mu)$ with respect to the original flow γ_λ^μ since γ_λ^μ and $\chi\gamma_\lambda^\mu$ have the same directions outside B as stated. □

Fix a regular index pair (N, L) satisfying (49). (See [22, Definition5.1] for the definition of a regular index pair. We can always find a regular index pair [22, Remark 5.4].) We will see that the following is well defined and continuous for large $-\lambda, \mu$, U_1, $T > 0$ and small $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\widetilde{\psi} : (U_1')^+ \longrightarrow U_1^+ \wedge I_\lambda^\mu(\widetilde{W}) \tag{50}$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}(\hat{x}_1) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (\mathrm{pr}_{U_1} sw(\hat{x}_1), y_1 \cdot T) & \text{if } \|\mathrm{pr}_{U_1} sw(\hat{x}_1)\| < \epsilon,\ y_1 \cdot [0, T] \subset N \backslash L, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $y_1 = p_\lambda^\mu i^* \hat{x}_1$ and we think of U_1^+ and $(U_1')^+$ as $B(U_1, \epsilon)/S(U_1, \epsilon)$ and $B(U_1', R_1')/S(U_1', R_1')$ respectively.

Lemma 31. *Let (N, L) be a regular index pair of $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_\lambda^\mu)$ satisfying (49). Fix large positive numbers $R \gg R_1' \gg 0$. There is $T_0 > 0$ independent of $U_1, \lambda, \mu, \epsilon$ such that if $T > T_0$ for large U_1, $-\lambda, \mu, \gg 0$ and small $\epsilon > 0$, (50) is well defined and continuous.*

Proof. To prove the map (50) is well defined, we need to show that if $\hat{x}_1 \in U_1', \|\hat{x}_1\| = R_1'$ and $\|\mathrm{pr}_{U_1} sw(\hat{x}_1)\| < \epsilon$, then $y_1 \cdot [0, T] \not\subset N \backslash L$. Assume that the proposition is false. Then we have sequences T_α, $-\lambda_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \to \infty$, $U_{1,\alpha}$ with $\dim U_{1,\alpha} \to \infty$, $\epsilon_\alpha \to 0$, $\hat{x}_{1,\alpha} \in U_{1,\alpha}'$ with $\|\hat{x}_{1,\alpha}\| = R_1'$ such that $y_{1,\alpha} \cdot [0, T_\alpha] \subset N_\alpha \backslash L_\alpha \subset \widetilde{W}$. Here (N_α, L_α) is an index pair for $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W} \cap V_{\lambda_\alpha}^{\mu_\alpha})$ satisfying (49) and $y_{1,\alpha} = p_{\lambda_\alpha}^{\mu_\alpha} i^*(\hat{x}_{1,\alpha})$. The assumptions that $\|x_{1,\alpha}\| = R_1'$ and that $y_{1,\alpha} \cdot [0, T_\alpha] \subset N_\alpha \backslash L_\alpha \subset \widetilde{W}$ imply that the energy of $(\hat{x}_{1,\alpha}, \{y_{1,\alpha} \cdot T\}_{0 \le T \le T_\alpha})$ is bounded by a constant independent of α. By (a slightly different version of) Lemma 2 in [9], we can find subsequences $\hat{x}_{1,\alpha'}$ conversing to a solution $\hat{x}_1$ to the Seiberg-Witten equations on X_1 with $\|\hat{x}_1\| = R_1'$ and $y_{1\alpha'} : [0, T_{\alpha'}] \to V_{\lambda_{\alpha'}}^{\mu_{\alpha'}}$ conversing to a finite energy trajectory $y_1 : [0, \infty) \to V$ on each compact set in $[0, \infty)$, and we have $i^*(\hat{x}_1) = y_1(0)$. Since $R_1' \gg 0$, this is a contradiction to Corollary 2 in [9].

Using the assumption that (N, L) is regular, we can see that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is continuous.

□

Taking the desuspension of (50) we get a morphism

$$\Sigma^{-V_\lambda^0(A_0, g, \mathbf{P})}(U_1')^+ \to U_1^+ \wedge J(\widetilde{W}; A_0, g, \mathbf{P}). \tag{51}$$

Next we define a morphism $J(\widetilde{W}, A_0, g, \mathbf{P}) \to \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P})$ as follows. By Lemma 7, we have isomorphisms

$$J(\widetilde{W}) \cong \Sigma^{-1} C\big(k : J(W^1_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^1_n)) \to \Sigma J(W^2_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^2_n)\big)$$

and

$$J(W^1_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^1_n) \cong J(W^1_{-n}) \vee \cdots \vee J(W^1_n),$$
$$J(W^2_{-n} \cup \cdots \cup W^2_n) \cong J(W^2_{-n}) \vee \cdots \vee J(W^2_n).$$

The following diagram is commutative up to canonical homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(W^1_{-n}) \vee \cdots \vee J(W^1_n) & \longrightarrow & J(W^1_n) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle k} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle k^1} \\ J(W^2_{-n}) \vee \cdots \vee J(W^2_n) & \longrightarrow & J(W^2_n) \end{array}$$

Here the morphism $J(W^i_{-n}) \vee \cdots \vee J(W^i_n) \to J(W^i_n)$ is the morphism induced by $\mathfrak{f}_1$. (More precisely, we need to choose trivialization of a vector space and a vector bundle as in Section 3.8 to get the homotopy.) Therefore we get

$$J(\widetilde{W}) \to \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}). \tag{52}$$

Composing this morphism with (51), we get

$$\psi_{X_1, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1, g, \mathbf{P}} : \Sigma^{V^0_\lambda(A_0, g, \mathbf{P})}(U'_1)^+ \to U_1^+ \wedge \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, g, \mathbf{P}).$$

Although we assumed that $b_1(Y) = 1$ and $N = 2$, the construction can be generalized to any case. More generally, for each submodule $H \subset H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ of rank $b_1(Y)$ we can define a morphism

$$\psi_{X_1, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1, H, g, \mathbf{P}} : \Sigma^{-V^0_\lambda(A_0, g, \mathbf{P})}(U'_1)^+ \to U_1^+ \wedge \mathrm{SWF}(Y, \mathfrak{c}, H)$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Proposition 32. *The morphism $\psi_{X_1, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1, H, g, \mathbf{P}}$ is independent of the choices of connection $\hat{A}_1$ with $\hat{A}_1|_Y$ flat, U_1, λ, μ, Riemannian metric $\hat{g}_1$ with $\hat{g}_1|_Y = g$.*

The proof of this proposition is omitted.

5. Proof of gluing formula

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.** To simplify notation, we give the proof in the case $b_1(Y) = 1, N = 2$. After choosing some data, we may think of $\Sigma^2 \Sigma^{V^\mu_\lambda} \eta \circ (\psi_{X_1, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1, H, \hat{g}_1, \mathbf{P}} \wedge \psi_{X_2, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_2, \hat{g}_2, \mathbf{P}})$ as a continuous map

$$\Sigma^2 (U'_1)^+ \wedge (U'_2)^+ \to \Sigma^2 U_1^+ \wedge U_2^+ \wedge (V^\mu_\lambda)^+.$$

Here we think of $(U_j)^+$ and $(U'_j)^+$ as $B(U_j, \epsilon)/S(U_j, \epsilon)$ and $B(U'_j, R'_j)/S(U'_j, R'_j)$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$ and large $R'_j > 0$.

Proposition 33. *Let H be a submodule of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ generated by m_1h_1, where h_1 is a generator of $H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ and m_1 is a positive integer. If m_1 is sufficiently large, then the map $\Sigma^2\Sigma^{V_\lambda^\mu}\eta\circ(\psi_{X_1,\hat{\mathfrak{c}_1},H,g,\mathbf{P}}\wedge\psi_{X_2,\hat{\mathfrak{c}_2},H,g,\mathbf{P}})$ is $U(1)$-equivariantly homotopic to the suspension by $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the following map*

(53)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(U_1')^+\wedge(U_2')^+ & \longrightarrow & U_1^+\wedge U_2^+\wedge(V_\lambda^\mu)^+\\ (\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2) & \longmapsto & \begin{cases}(\prod_{j=1}^2\mathrm{pr}_{U_j}sw(\hat{x}_j),y_1-y_2) & \text{if}\begin{cases}\|\mathrm{pr}_{U_j}sw(\hat{x}_j)\|<\epsilon,\\ \|y_1-y_2\|<\epsilon,\end{cases}\\ * & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{array}$$

Here $y_j=p_\lambda^\mu i^*\hat{x}_j$ and $i:Y\hookrightarrow X=X_1\cup_Y X_2$ is the inclusion, and we consider U_j^+ and $(U_j')^+$ to be $B(U_j,\epsilon)/S(U_j,\epsilon)$ and $B(U_j',R_j')/S(U_j,R_j')$.

Proof. If m_1 is sufficiently large, $p_\lambda^\mu(i^*(B(U_j',R_j')))\subset\widetilde{W}:=W_n^1\cup W_n^2$ for $j=1,2$. We can take regular index pairs (N,L) and $(N,\overline{L})$ for $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W}\cap V_\lambda^\mu,\gamma_\lambda^\mu)$ and $\mathrm{Inv}(\widetilde{W}\cap V_\lambda^\mu,\bar{\gamma}_\lambda^\mu)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&i^*(B(U_1',R_1'))\subset N\backslash L\subset\widetilde{W}\cap V_\lambda^\mu,\\ &i^*(B(U_2',R_2'))\subset N\backslash\overline{L}\subset\widetilde{W}\cap V_\lambda^\mu,\\ &N\text{ is a manifold with boundary }\partial N=L\cup\overline{L},\\ &\partial L=\partial\overline{L}=L\cap\overline{L}.\end{aligned}$$

See Lemma 30 and [4, Section 3.2]. ($\bar{\gamma}_\lambda^\mu$ is the inverse flow of γ_λ^μ.)

Take $(t_j,\hat{x}_j)\in\Sigma(U_j')^+$ and put $y_j=p_\lambda^\mu i^*\hat{x}_j$. As in the proof of Lemma 13, we can write

$$\Sigma^2\eta\circ(\psi_{X_1}\wedge\psi_{X_2})(t_1,t_2,\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2)$$

(54)

$$=\begin{cases}(s_1(\zeta),s_2(\zeta),\mathrm{pr}_{U_1}sw(\hat{x}_1),\mathrm{pr}_{U_2}sw(\hat{x}_2),l_1(\zeta)-l_2(\zeta)) & \text{if}\begin{cases}\|\mathrm{pr}_{U_j}sw(\hat{x}_j)\|<\epsilon,\\ y_1\cdot[0,T]\subset N\backslash L,\\ y_2\cdot[-T,0]\subset N\backslash\overline{L},\\ \|l_1(\zeta)-l_2(\zeta))\|<\epsilon,\\ 1-s(y_1)\le t_1\le 1\text{ or}\\ 1-\bar{s}(y_2)\le t_2\le 1,\end{cases}\\ * & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Here $\zeta=(t_1,t_2,\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2)$, $s,\bar{s}:N\to[0,1]$ and $l_j:N\to N$ with

$$\|l_j(\zeta)-y_j\cdot\tau_j(\zeta)\|\le O(\delta)$$

for some continuous function τ_j of ζ with $\tau_1\ge 0,\tau_2\le 0$ and with $|\tau_j|$ bounded by a constant independent of U_j, λ,μ. (The boundedness of τ_j comes from Lemma 19 and Lemma 31.)

We can write

$$l_1(\zeta)-l_2(\zeta)=y_1\cdot\tau_1(\zeta)-y_2\cdot\tau_2(\zeta)+O(\delta).$$

For $u \in [0,1]$, let H_u be a continuous map

$$\Sigma^2(U_1')^+ \wedge (U_2')^+ \to \Sigma^2(U_1)^+ \wedge (U_2)^+ \wedge (V_\lambda^\mu)^+$$

defined by

$$H_u(\zeta) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (s_1(\zeta), s_2(\zeta), \mathrm{pr}_{U_1}\, sw(\hat{x}_1), \mathrm{pr}_{U_2}\, sw(\hat{x}_2), L_u(\zeta)) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|\mathrm{pr}_{U_j}\, sw(\hat{x}_j)\| < \epsilon, \\ y_1 \cdot [0, (1-u)T] \subset N \backslash L, \\ y_2 \cdot [-(1-u)T, 0] \subset N \backslash \overline{L}, \\ \|L_u(\zeta)\| < \epsilon, \\ 1 - s(y_1) \le t_1 \le 1 \text{ or} \\ 1 - \bar{s}(y_2) \le t_2 \le 1, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$L_u(\zeta) = y_1 \cdot (1-u)\tau_1(\zeta) - y_2 \cdot (1-u)\tau_2(\zeta) + (1-u)O(\delta).$$

We can prove that H_u is well defined for large $U_1, U_2, -\lambda, \mu$ and small δ, ϵ as in [15, p.130] using the compactness of the moduli space of monopoles on a closed 4-manifold. We can see that

$$H_1(\zeta) =$$

$$\begin{cases} (s_1(\zeta), s_2(\zeta), \prod_{j=1}^{2} \mathrm{pr}_{U_j}\, sw(\hat{x}_j), y_1 - y_2) & \text{if} \begin{cases} \|\mathrm{pr}_{U_j}\, sw(\hat{x}_j)\| < \epsilon, \\ \|y_1 - y_2\| < \epsilon, \\ 1 - s(y_1) \le t_1 \le 1 \text{ or} \\ 1 - \bar{s}(y_2) \le t_2 \le 1, \end{cases} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The same deformation of $s_j(\zeta)$ as that in the proof of Lemma 13 gives a homotopy from H_1 to the suspension by $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the map (53). We have done the proof of Proposition 33. □

Proof of Theorem 3

Although we used a different boundary condition to define the relative invariants from that of [15], we can apply the proof of the gluing formula in [15, Section 4] to (53) with some modification ([18]) and we have done the proof of Theorem 3. □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), 71–99.

[2] S. Bauer and M. Furuta, A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants. I, Invent. Math. 155 (2004), 1–19.

[3] C. Conley, *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 38. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978.

[4] O. Cornea, *Homotopical dynamics: suspension and duality*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), 379–391.

[5] A. Dold and D. Puppe, *Duality, trace and transfer*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, **154** (1984), 85–103.
[6] M. Furuta, *Monopole equation and the $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 279–291.
[7] M. Furuta and Y. Kametani, *Equivariant maps between sphere bundles over tori and KO-degree*, preprint, arXiv:math/0502511.
[8] M. Furuta and T. J. Li, *Intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds with boundary*, preprint.
[9] T. Khandhawit, *A new gauge slice for the relative Bauer-Furuta invariants*, preprint, arXiv:1401.7590.
[10] P. B. Kronheimer and C. Manolescu, *Periodic Floer pro-spectra from the Seiberg-Witten equations, ver.1*, preprint, arXiv:math/0203243v1.
[11] P. B. Kronheimer and C. Manolescu, *Periodic Floer pro-spectra from the Seiberg-Witten equations, ver.3*, preprint, arXiv:math/0203243v3.
[12] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *Monopoles and three-manifolds,* New Mathematical Monographs, 10. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
[13] J. Lin, *Pin(2)-equivariant KO-theory and intersection forms of spin four-manifolds*, preprint, arXiv:1401.3264.
[14] C. Manolescu, *Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type of three-manifolds with $b_1 = 0$,* Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 889–932.
[15] C. Manolescu, *A gluing theorem for the relative Bauer-Furuta invariants*, J. Differential Geom. **76** (2007), 117–153.
[16] C. Manolescu, *Pin(2)-equivariant Seiberg-Witten Floer homology and the Triangulation Conjecture*, preprint, arXiv:1303.2354.
[17] C. Manolescu, *On the intersection forms of spin four-manifolds with boundary*, preprint, arXiv:1305.4667.
[18] C. Manolescu, *Errata to the article "A gluing theorem for the relative Bauer-Furuta invariants"*, to appear.
[19] H. R. Margolis, *Spectra and the Steenrod algebra,* North-Holland Mathematical Library, 29. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983.
[20] C. McCord, *Poincaré-Lefschetz duality for the homology Conley index*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **329** (1992), 233–252.
[21] R. B. Melrose and P. Piazza, *Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b-calculus*, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), 99–180.
[22] D. Salamon, *Connected simple systems and the Conley index of isolated invariant sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), 1–41.
[23] E. H. Spanier, *Function spaces and duality*, Ann. of Math. **70** (1959) 338–378.

Graduate school of Mathematics, Nagoya University,
Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, Japan.
E-mail address: hsasahira@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp